

From genetic variants to RNAs and protein signaling networks: towards a system level understanding of biology of Type 2 Diabetes

Barbara Di Camillo Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione Università di Padova

STATSEQ meeting on Gene Network Inference with Systems genetic data and beyond. 28-29th March 2013, Paris, France



Using regulatory pathway concept to identify SNP variants associated with T2D in GWAS

 Transcriptional response to SNP variants: Gene Network Inference

•Quantitative modeling of insulin signaling: possible effects of mutations on signaling pathways

GWAS studies have successfully identified a number of significant SNP-disease associations

Published Genome-Wide Associations through 03/2011, 1,319 published GWA at p≤5x10⁻⁸ for 221 traits

2011 1st quarter



Limited overlap of biomarker lists

A common experience with high-throughput data



Possible reasons of list instability (1)

- Datasets with a low number of subjects vs. high number of variables
- Data are highly correlated (co-regulated)





Accounting for data dimension

Bootstrap: Sample (with replacement) N subjects from the original dataset. Run the algorithm and extract the biomarker list. Repeat B times (e.g. B=100)



Resampling results

Resampling improves biomarker list reproducibility and precision.

Simulated data: 10 datasets with 2 classes, 20 subjects per class, 10000 features and 160 biomarkers



Di Camillo B. et al., PLoS ONE, 2012 Sambo F. et al., BMC Bioinformatics, 2012

Accounting for data co-regulation

Using prior biological information to define to regularize the discriminant functions of the classification algorithms.



Prior-knowledge Integration Results



Sanavia et al., BMC Bioinformatics 2012

Possible reasons of list instability (2)

Diseases are heterogeneous and multicausal: each patient may exhibit a <u>different combination of gene alterations that</u> <u>are sufficient to perturb some specific pathways</u>.





Using Pathways to analyze SNP data

IDEA: studying the cumulative variation of SNPs in genes mapping on the same pathway (interacting genes)

SP-ABACUS

SNP & Pathway Analysis based on a BivAriate CUmulative Statistics

- SNPs mapped on genes and genes on pathways
- Each pathway is tested independently applying an entropy based bivariate test of association for each pair of SNPs
 - ✓ VS. univariate, <u>easier to detect TP (multiple evidence</u>)
 - VS. multivariate, avoid massive optimization problems and keep low associations
- Cumulative statistic calculated for each pathway

Performance on simulated data



✓More sensitive than Chi-square test, especially at low MAF

SP-ABACUS characteristics

SNP p-values depend on the pathway being analyzed!

SNP significance increases with:

- ✓ Strength of association
- ✓ Number of SNPs associated to the disease in the pathway
- ✓ Possible epistatic interactions

Significance does not depend on number of SNPs mapping on genes and on the pathway

Application to WTCCC T2D data

1924 Type 2 diabetes/2938 healthy/ 500K SNPs (Affymetrix)

SNPs mapped on genes (Affymetrix annotation files) and genes on 860 KEGG + REACTOME pathways (MSigDB)

- **PATHWAYS IN CANCER**: TCF7L2, PPARG, MYC, ...
- TRYPTOPHAN_METABOLISM: ASMT
- **INSULIN SIGNALING**: IRS1, PI3K
- CELL CYCLE: MYC, PPM1A
- **REGULATION_OF_INSULIN_SECRETION:** ADCY8, KCNC2
- CLASS_B_2_SECRETIN_FAMILY_RECEPTORS: GNG2, PTH2R,WNT4
- NA_INDEPENDENT_GLUCOSE_TRANSPORTERS: SLC2A9

...



 Using regulatory pathway concept to identify SNP variants associated with T2D in GWAS

 Transcriptional response to SNP variants: Gene Network Inference

Quantitative modeling of insulin signaling: possible effects of mutations on signaling pathways

Transcriptional response to SNP variants



Strategy based on differential expression analysis plus quality reasoning to disambiguate directed/undirected interactions (SPQR, Badaloni et al., IEEE/ACM Trans Comput Biol Bioinform. 2012) "Honorable Mention for Best Performer (fourth place) in the Systems Genetics Challenge" in DREAM 5"

Differential wiring

GSE18732, human muscle tissue, 45 T2D, 47 controls (no eQTL)

14 probes (12 genes) differentially expressed at FDR 10%

```
Regulators: the genes annotated with SNPs associated to T2D Targets: the differentially expressed genes in T2D vs. NORMAL
```

For each pair (regulator, target) we calculate:

```
\Delta r(regulator, target) =
```

```
= r(regulator, target)_{NORMAL}- r(regulator, target)_{T2D}
```

Significant differences are hints of downstream effect of the mutations on the targets

The inferred network













 Using regulatory pathway concept to identify SNP variants associated with T2D in GWAS

 Transcriptional response to SNP variants: Gene Network Inference

 Quantitative modeling of insulin signaling: possible effects of mutations on signaling pathways

Insulin Signaling Pathway (ISP)



Mass Action Kinetics model of ISP



Simulation (Normal)



Local Sensitivity Analysis

Output O: GLUT4 activation

$$S_{j} = \frac{\partial O/O}{\partial p_{j}/p_{j}}$$
$$= \frac{\left(O\left(p_{j} + \Delta p_{j}\right) - O\left(p_{j} - \Delta p_{j}\right)\right)/O}{2\Delta p_{j}/p_{j}}$$

$$\Delta p_j = 0.001 \cdot p_j$$

parameter	S
k3	-2.40
k9	0.24
k8	0.21
k7b	-0.13
k-7b	0.13
k-13	-0.07
k13	0.07
k-9	-0.06
•••	•••

http://www.ebi.ac.uk/biomodels-main/BIOMD000000137 http://physiome.org/jsim/

Simulation (Mutated PI3K)



Simulation (Mutated IRS)



Simulation (Mutated PI3K and IRS)



In Summary

SP-ABACUS

Using SP-ABACUS allowed gaining sensitivity and formulating new hypothesis on involvement of tryptophan metabolism on T2D etiology

Differential Wiring

Significant differences of inferred regulatory links (T2D vs. Normal) revealed downstream targets of the mutations

Quantitative model of insulin signaling

The modeling approach yielded important insights into reciprocal relationships between insulin resistance and mutations in IRS/PI3K/Akt pathway that might be relevant for generating novel therapeutic approaches. The most critical aspect is to <u>explain the data from a</u> <u>clinical/biological point of view</u>

To this purpose, a key challenge we have to face is the integration of data within and across domains and levels of granularity in a multiscale approach.

Bioengineering - Genomic Group





Angela Grassi, Tiziana Sanavia, Federica Eduati

Francesco Sambo, Emanuele Trifoglio, Francesca Finotello

Gianna Toffolo, Alberto Corradin, Barbara Di Camillo