# Integrating *Tara* Oceans data sets using Multiple Kernel Learning

#### Jérôme Mariette\* and Nathalie Villa-Vialaneix

#### NETBIO 2017









#### Metagenomic datasets and associated questions

A UMKL framework for integrating multiple metagenomic data

Exploratory analysis with kernels

Application to TARA Oceans datasets



#### Metagenomic datasets and associated questions

A UMKL framework for integrating multiple metagenomic data

Exploratory analysis with kernels

Application to TARA Oceans datasets









#### Heterogeneous types

Abundance data: sparse n × p-matrices with count data of samples in rows and descriptors (species, OTUs, KEGG groups, k-mer, ...) in columns.



#### Heterogeneous types

- Abundance data: sparse n × p-matrices with count data of samples in rows and descriptors (species, OTUs, KEGG groups, k-mer, ...) in columns.
- Phylogenetic tree: one tree with p leaves built from the sequences collected in the n samples.



#### Heterogeneous types

. . .

- Abundance data: sparse n × p-matrices with count data of samples in rows and descriptors (species, OTUs, KEGG groups, k-mer, ...) in columns.
- Phylogenetic tree: one tree with p leaves built from the sequences collected in the n samples.
- Co-occurrence graph: a p nodes graph.

### TARA Oceans expedition





#### The 2009-2013 expedition

- Co-directed by Étienne Bourgois and Éric Karsenti.
- 7,012 datasets collected from 35,000 samples of plankton and water (11,535 Gb of data).
- Study of plankton: bacteria, protists, metazoans and viruses representing more than 90% of the biomass in the ocean.

### TARA Oceans expedition



#### Science (May 2015) - Studies on:

- eukaryotic plankton diversity [de Vargas et al., 2015],
- ocean viral communities [Brum et al., 2015],
- global plankton interactome [Lima-Mendez et al., 2015],
- global ocean microbiome [Sunagawa et al., 2015],

. . . .

 $\rightarrow$  datasets from different types and different sources analyzed separately.

### Background of this talk

#### Objectives

- Until now: many papers using many methods. No integrated analysis performed.
- What do the datasets reveal if integrated in a single analysis?
- Our purpose: develop a generic method to integrate phylogenetic, taxonomic and functional community composition to environmental factors.



#### Metagenomic datasets and associated questions

#### A UMKL framework for integrating multiple metagenomic data

Exploratory analysis with kernels

Application to TARA Oceans datasets





Model-based integration

### Transformation-based integration

20





### Concatenation-based integration



#### Model-based integration



### Transformation-based integration



### Concatenation-based integration



#### Model-based integration



### Transformation-based integration

20







Model-based integration



### Transformation-based integration







#### Desired mathematical properties for the similarity

Function  $K : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}$  st:  $K(x_i, x_j) = K(x_j, x_i)$  and  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x_1, ..., x_m \in \mathcal{G}, \forall \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j K(x_i, x_j) \ge 0$ In this case:

 $\exists (\mathcal{H}, \langle ., . \rangle), \phi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H} \text{ st: } K(x_i, x_j) = \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle$ 



#### Desired mathematical properties for the similarity

Function  $K : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}$  st:  $K(x_i, x_j) = K(x_j, x_i)$  and  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x_1, ..., x_m \in \mathcal{G}, \forall \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j K(x_i, x_j) \ge 0$ In this case:

 $\exists (\mathcal{H}, \langle ., . \rangle), \phi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H} \text{ st: } K(x_i, x_j) = \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle$ 



Function  $K : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}$  st:  $K(x_i, x_j) = K(x_j, x_i)$  and  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x_1, ..., x_m \in \mathcal{G}, \forall \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j K(x_i, x_j) \ge 0$ In this case:

 $\exists (\mathcal{H}, \langle ., . \rangle), \phi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H} \text{ st: } K(x_i, x_j) = \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle$ 



Function  $K : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}$  st:  $K(x_i, x_j) = K(x_j, x_i)$  and  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x_1, ..., x_m \in \mathcal{G}, \forall \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j K(x_i, x_j) \ge 0$ In this case:

 $\exists (\mathcal{H}, \langle ., . \rangle), \phi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H} \text{ st: } K(x_i, x_j) = \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle$ 

NRA

#### How to combine *M* kernels?

• naive approach:  $K^* = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m}^{M} K^m$ 

#### How to combine *M* kernels?

- naive approach:  $K^* = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m}^{M} K^m$
- ▶ supervised framework:  $K^* = \sum_m^M \beta_m K^m$  with  $\beta_m \ge 0$  and  $\sum_m \beta_m = 1$  with  $\beta_m$  chosen so as to minimize the prediction error [Gönen and Alpaydin, 2011]

#### How to combine *M* kernels?

- naive approach:  $K^* = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m}^{M} K^m$
- ▶ supervised framework:  $K^* = \sum_m^M \beta_m K^m$  with  $\beta_m \ge 0$  and  $\sum_m \beta_m = 1$  with  $\beta_m$  chosen so as to minimize the prediction error [Gönen and Alpaydin, 2011]
- unsupervised framework but input space is  $\mathbb{R}^d$  [Zhuang et al., 2011]  $K^* = \sum_m^M \beta_m K^m$  with  $\beta_m \ge 0$  and  $\sum_m \beta_m = 1$  with  $\beta_m$  chosen so as to
  - ► minimize the distortion between all training data ∑<sub>ij</sub> K\*(x<sub>i</sub>, x<sub>j</sub>)||x<sub>i</sub> - x<sub>j</sub>||<sup>2</sup>;
  - AND minimize the approximation of the original data by the kernel embedding  $\sum_{i} ||x_{i} \sum_{j} \mathcal{K}^{*}(x_{i}, x_{j}) x_{j}||^{2}$ .

#### Our proposal

- ▶ 2 UMKL frameworks which do not require data to have values in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
  - maximizing the average similarity between kernels (STATIS)
  - minimizing the distorsion with the topology of the data

#### Maximizing the average similarity between kernels (STATIS)

► STATIS: exploratory tools for multi-block datasets.

#### Maximizing the average similarity between kernels (STATIS)

- STATIS: exploratory tools for multi-block datasets.
- first step: compute a similarity matrix between kernels.

$$\mathcal{C}_{mm'} = rac{\langle \mathcal{K}^m, \mathcal{K}^{m'} 
angle_{\mathcal{F}}}{\|\mathcal{K}^m\|_{\mathcal{F}} \|\mathcal{K}^{m'}\|_{\mathcal{F}}}.$$

#### Maximizing the average similarity between kernels (STATIS)

- STATIS: exploratory tools for multi-block datasets.
- first step: compute a similarity matrix between kernels.

$$\mathcal{C}_{mm'} = rac{\langle K^m, K^{m'} 
angle_F}{\|K^m\|_F \|K^{m'}\|_F}$$

second step:

$$\arg\max_{\beta} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left\langle K^{*}(\beta), \frac{K^{m}}{\|K^{m}\|} \right\rangle = \arg\max_{\beta} \sum_{m,m'=1}^{M} \beta_{m} \beta_{m'} C_{mm'}.$$

#### A kernel preserving the original topology of the data

From an idea similar to that of [Lin et al., 2010], find a kernel such that the local geometry of the data in the feature space is similar to that of the original data.

# From multiple kernels to an integrated kernel A kernel preserving the original topology of the data From an idea similar to that of [Lin et al., 2010], find a kernel such that the local geometry of the data in the feature space is similar to that of the original data. $K^m$ *k*-nearest neighbors graph adjacency matrix

 $\Rightarrow W = \sum_m \mathbb{I}_{\{A_k^m > 0\}}$  or  $W = \sum_m A_k^m$ 

# From multiple kernels to an integrated kernel A kernel preserving the original topology of the data From an idea similar to that of [Lin et al., 2010], find a kernel such that the local geometry of the data in the feature space is similar to that of the original data. $K^{m}$ *k*–nearest neighbors graph adjacency matrix $\Rightarrow W = \sum_m \mathbb{I}_{\{A_k^m > 0\}}$ or $W = \sum_m A_k^m$ $\arg\min_{\beta}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} W_{ij} \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{M} \beta_{m} \left( \left| \begin{array}{c} K_{i1}^{m} \\ \vdots \\ K_{m}^{m} \end{array} - \left| \begin{array}{c} K_{j1}^{m} \\ \vdots \\ K_{m}^{m} \end{array} \right| \right) \right\|^{2}.$

#### A kernel preserving the original topology of the data

Sparse version with ||β||<sub>1</sub> = ∑<sub>m</sub> β<sub>m</sub> = 1 ⇒ standard QP problem with linear constrains (ex: package **quadprog** in R).

#### A kernel preserving the original topology of the data

- ► Sparse version with  $\|\beta\|_1 = \sum_m \beta_m = 1 \Rightarrow$  standard QP problem with linear constraints (ex: package **quadprog** in R).
- Non sparse version with ||β||<sub>2</sub> = 1 ⇒ QPQC problem (hard to solve). Solved using Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM [Boyd et al., 2011]).



#### Metagenomic datasets and associated questions

A UMKL framework for integrating multiple metagenomic data

Exploratory analysis with kernels

Application to TARA Oceans datasets



#### Linear PCA



#### Standard Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- Projection of high dimensional dataset in a small dimensional space
- Designed so as to keep most of the data variability
- Axes interpretable from a variable and from an observation point of view (axes are linear combinations of the original variables)

# K-PCA [Schölkopf et al., 1998]



#### Standard Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- Projection of high dimensional dataset in a small dimensional space
- Designed so as to keep most of the data variability
- Axes interpretable from a variable and from an observation point of view (axes are linear combinations of the original variables)

#### Kernel Principal Component Analysis (K-PCA)

- PCA in the feature space (corresponds to a non linear projection of the original data in the original space)
- No representation for the variables

### K-PCA [Schölkopf et al., 1998]

#### How to interprete the axes ?

- few attempts in the literature to help understand the relations of KPCA with the original measures.
- ► [Reverter et al., 2014] add a representation of the variables to the plot: visualizing their influence over the results from derivative computations (datasets take values in ℝ<sup>d</sup>).
## K-PCA [Schölkopf et al., 1998]

### How to interprete the axes ?

- few attempts in the literature to help understand the relations of KPCA with the original measures.
- ► [Reverter et al., 2014] add a representation of the variables to the plot: visualizing their influence over the results from derivative computations (datasets take values in ℝ<sup>d</sup>).

### Our proposal

- generic approach that assesses the influence of variables.
- randomize a dataset variable and build a new kernel K<sup>\*</sup>.
- ► compute the Crone and Crosby distance [Crone and Crosby, 1995] between the K\* and K̃\* K-PCA sub-spaces.



### Metagenomic datasets and associated questions

A UMKL framework for integrating multiple metagenomic data

Exploratory analysis with kernels

Application to TARA Oceans datasets



## [Sunagawa et al., 2015]



### Datasets used

environmental dataset: 22 numeric features (temperature, salinity, ...).





- environmental dataset: 22 numeric features (temperature, salinity, ...).
- ▶ bacteria phylogenomic tree: computed from ~ 35,000 OTUs.





- environmental dataset: 22 numeric features (temperature, salinity, ...).
- $\blacktriangleright\,$  bacteria phylogenomic tree: computed from  $\sim$  35,000 OTUs.
- ▶ bacteria functional composition: ~ 63,000 eggNOG gene families.





- environmental dataset: 22 numeric features (temperature, salinity, ...).
- $\blacktriangleright\,$  bacteria phylogenomic tree: computed from  $\sim$  35,000 OTUs.
- ► bacteria functional composition: ~ 63,000 eggNOG gene families.
- eukaryotic plankton composition split into 4 groups: pico (0.8 5μm), nano (5 – 20μm), micro (20 – 180μm) and meso (180 – 2000μm).



- environmental dataset: 22 numeric features (temperature, salinity, ...).
- $\blacktriangleright\,$  bacteria phylogenomic tree: computed from  $\sim$  35,000 OTUs.
- ► bacteria functional composition: ~ 63,000 eggNOG gene families.
- eukaryotic plankton composition split into 4 groups: pico  $(0.8 5\mu m)$ , nano  $(5 20\mu m)$ , micro  $(20 180\mu m)$  and meso  $(180 2000\mu m)$ .
- ▶ virus composition: ~ 867 virus clusters based on shared gene content.



### Common samples

- ► 48 samples,
- 2 depth layers: surface (SRF) and deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM),

20

31 different sampling stations.



### M TARA Oceans datasets

 $(x_i^m)_{i=1,...,N,m=1,...,M}$  measured on the same ocean samples (1,...,N) which take values in an arbitrary space  $(\mathcal{X}^m)_m$ :

- phychem: environmental dataset,
- pro.phylo: prokaryote phylogenomic tree,
- pro.NOGs: prokaryote functional composition,
- euk.pina: eukaryote pico-nano-plankton composition,

• ...

vir.VCs: virus composition.





phychem (environmental dataset): standard euclidean distance, given by  $K(x_i, x_j) = x_i^T x_j$ .



pro.phylo (prokarote phylogenomic tree): the weighted Unifrac distance, given by

$$d_{wUF}(A,B) = rac{\sum_e l_e |p_e - q_e|}{\sum_e p_e + q_e}$$

*l<sub>e</sub>*: length of branch *e*.

*p<sub>e</sub>*: the fraction of community *A* below branch *e*.

 $q_e$ : the fraction of community *B* below branch *e*.



All composition based datasets: pro.NOGs (bacteria functional composition), eukaryote composition (euk.pina, euk.nano, euk.micro, euk.meso) and vir.VCs (virus composition) calculated using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity,

$$d_{BC}(A,B) = \frac{\sum_g |n_g^A - n_g^B|}{\sum_g n_g^A + n_g^B}$$

 $n_g^A$ : gene *g* abundances summarized at eggNOG gene families level in community *A*.  $n_a^B$ : same for community *B*.



All composition based datasets: pro.NOGs (bacteria functional composition), eukaryote composition (euk.pina, euk.nano, euk.micro, euk.meso) and vir.VCs (virus composition) calculated using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity,

$$d_{BC}(A,B) = \frac{\sum_g |n_g^A - n_g^B|}{\sum_g n_g^A + n_g^B}$$

 $n_g^A$ : gene *g* abundances summarized at eggNOG gene families level in community *A*.  $n_a^B$ : same for community *B*.



All composition based datasets: pro.NOGs (bacteria functional composition), eukaryote composition (euk.pina, euk.nano, euk.micro, euk.meso) and vir.VCs (virus composition) calculated using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity,

$$d_{BC}(A,B) = \frac{\sum_g |n_g^A - n_g^B|}{\sum_g n_g^A + n_g^B}$$

 $n_g^A$ : gene *g* abundances summarized at eggNOG gene families level in community *A*.  $n_g^B$ : same for community *B*.



Combinaison of the *M* kernels to obtain  $K^*$ , a kernel preserving topology with  $L_2$ -norm constraint.



Apply KPCA (could have been clustering, linear model, ..., in the feature space).

NRA

## Proof of concept on [Sunagawa et al., 2015]





**RA** (22

# Proof of concept on [Sunagawa et al., 2015]



 samples are separated by their depth layer of origin, *i.e.*, SRF, DCM or MES, with stronger differences for MES samples.

## Proof of concept on [Sunagawa et al., 2015]

23





Proof of concept on [Sunagawa et al.,

### [Sunagawa et al., 2015]

 Proteobacteria (clade SAR11 (Alphaproteobacteria)) dominate the sampled areas.



### [Sunagawa et al., 2015]

- Proteobacteria (clade SAR11 (Alphaproteobacteria)) dominate the sampled areas.
- Vertical stratification mostly driven by temperature.

Proof of concept on [Sunagawa et al.,



**NRA** (24

### Similarities between kernels (STATIS)



### Similarities between kernels (STATIS)

 High similarities between prokaryote phylogenomic tree (pro.phylo) and prokaryote functional composition (pro.NOGs).



### Similarities between kernels (STATIS)

- High similarities between prokaryote phylogenomic tree (pro.phylo) and prokaryote functional composition (pro.NOGs).
- High similarities between pico-nano-plankton (euk.pina) and other datasets: piconanoplankton communities are more homogeneous across the world's ocean ([de Vargas et al., 2015]).



### Similarities between kernels (STATIS)

 Low similarities between meso-plankton (euk.meso) and other datasets: strong geographical structure of mesoplanktonic communities ([de Vargas et al., 2015]).



### Similarities between kernels (STATIS)

- Low similarities between meso-plankton (euk.meso) and other datasets: strong geographical structure of mesoplanktonic communities ([de Vargas et al., 2015]).
- Strongest similarities between environmental variables and small organisms than largest ones ([de Vargas et al., 2015] and [Sunagawa et al., 2015]).





**NRA** (26



26

no particular pattern in terms of depth layers but in terms of geography.







Large size organisms are the most important: *Rhizaria* and *Alveolata* phyla.



- Large size organisms are the most important: *Rhizaria* and *Alveolata* phyla.
- SO and SPO epipelagic waters mainly differ in terms of Rhizarians abundances



- Large size organisms are the most important: *Rhizaria* and *Alveolata* phyla.
- SO and SPO epipelagic waters mainly differ in terms of Rhizarians abundances
- both of them differ from the other studied waters in terms of alveolata abundances.

### **Conclusions & Perspectives**

### What did we do?

- Integrate taxonomic, functional and community composition with environmental factors
- Use a K-PCA to visualize the datasets in an integrated way and improved its interpretability by assessing the influence of input variables in a generic way.
- Learn the kernels weights using MKL algorithms in order to understand their respective importance/contribution

 $\Rightarrow$  Give access to a fast insight of the different datasets within a single analysis

### **Conclusions & Perspectives**

### What did we do?

- Integrate taxonomic, functional and community composition with environmental factors
- Use a K-PCA to visualize the datasets in an integrated way and improved its interpretability by assessing the influence of input variables in a generic way.
- Learn the kernels weights using MKL algorithms in order to understand their respective importance/contribution

 $\Rightarrow$  Give access to a fast insight of the different datasets within a single analysis

### Availability [Mariette and Villa-Vialaneix, 2017]

- ► Available in the R package **mixKernel**, released on CRAN.
- Fully compatible with the mixOmics package, coming with a tutorial describing the approach.

## Thanks for your attention!









[Boyd et al., 2011] Boyd, S., Parikh, N., Chu, E., Peleato, B., and Eckstein, J. (2011).

Distributed optimization and statistical learning via the alterning direction method of multipliers. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning, 3(1):1–122.

[Brum et al., 2015] Brum, J., Ignacio-Espinoza, J., Roux, S., Doulcier, G., Acinas, S., Alberti, A., Chaffron, S., Cruaud, C., de Vargas, C., Gasol, J., Gorsky, G., Gregory, A., Guidi, L., Hingamp, P., Iudicone, D., Not, F., Ogata, H., Pesant, S., Poulos, B., Schwenck, S., Speich, S., Dimier, C., Kandels-Lewis, S., Picheral, M., Searson, S., *Tara* Oceans coordinators, Bork, P., Bowler, C., Sunagawa, S., Wincker, P., Karsenti, E., and Sullivan, M. (2015).

Patterns and ecological drivers of ocean viral communities. *Science*, 348(6237).

[Crone and Crosby, 1995] Crone, L. J. and Crosby, D. S. (1995). Statistical applications of a metric on subspaces to satellite meteorology. *Technometrics*, 37(3):324–328.

[de Vargas et al., 2015] de Vargas, C., Audic, S., Henry, N., Decelle, J., Mahé, P., Logares, R., Lara, E., Berney, C., Le Bescot, N., Probert, I., Carmichael, M., Poulain, J., Romac, S., Colin, S., Aury, J., Bittner, L., Chaffron, S., Dunthorn, M., Engelen, S., Flegontova, O., Guidi, L., Horák, A., Jaillon, O., Lima-Mendez, G., Lukeš, J., Malviya, S., Morard, R., Mulot, M., Scalco, E., Siano, R., Vincent, F., Zingone, A., Dimier, C., Picheral, M., Searson, S., Kandels-Lewis, S., *Tara* Oceans coordinators, Acinas, S., Bork, P., Bowler, C., Gorsky, G., Girnisley, N., Hingamo, P., Iudicone, D., Not, F., Ogata, H., Pesant, S., Raes, J., Sieracki, M. E., Speich, S., Stemmann, L., Sunagawa, S., Weissenbach, J., Wincker, P., and Karsenti, E. (2015). Eukaryotic plankton diversity in the sunlit ocean. *Science*, 348(6237).

[Gönen and Alpaydin, 2011] Gönen, M. and Alpaydin, E. (2011). Multiple kernel learning algorithms. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12:2211–2268.

[Lima-Mendez et al., 2015] Lima-Mendez, G., Faust, K., Henry, N., Decelle, J., Colin, S., Carcillo, F., Chaffron, S., Ignacio-Espinosa, J. C., Roux, S., Vincent, F., Bittner, L., Darzi, Y., Wang, J., Audic, S., Berline, L., Bontempi, G., Cabello, A. M., Coppola, L., Cornejo-Castillo, F. M., d'Ovidio, F., De Meester, L., Ferrera, I., Garet-Delmas, M.-J., Guidi, L., Lara, E., Pesant, S., Royo-Llonch, M., Salazar, G., Sánchez, P., Sebastian, M., Souffreau, C., Dimier, C., Picheral, M., Searson, S., Kandels-Lewis, S., Gorsky, G., Not, F., Ogata, H., Speich, S., Stemmann, L., Weissenbach, J., Wincker, P., Acinas, S. G., Sunagawa, S., Bork, P., Sullivan, M. B., Karsenti, E., Bowler, C., de Vargas, C., and Raes, J. (2015). Determinants of community structure in the global plankton interactome. *Science*, 348(6237).


- [Lin et al., 2010] Lin, Y., Liu, T., and CS., F. (2010). Multiple kernel learning for dimensionality reduction. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 33:1147–1160.
- [Mariette and Villa-Vialaneix, 2017] Mariette, J. and Villa-Vialaneix, N. (2017). Unsupervised multiple kernel learning for heterogeneous data integration. *Bioinformatics*, page btx682.
- [Reverter et al., 2014] Reverter, F., Vegas, E., and Oller, J. (2014). Kernel-pca data integration with enhanced interpretability. BMC Systems Biology, 8.
- [Ritchie et al., 2015] Ritchie, M., Holzinger, E., Li, R., S.A., P., and Kim, D. (2015). Methods of integrating data to uncover genotype-phenotype interactions. *Nature Reviews Genetics.*
- [Schölkopf et al., 1998] Schölkopf, B., Smola, A., and Müller, K. (1998). Nonlinear component analysis as a kernel eigenvalue problem. *Neural Computation*, 10:1299–1319.
- [Sunagawa et al., 2015] Sunagawa, S., Coelho, L., Chaffron, S., Kultima, J., Labadie, K., Salazar, F., Djahanschiri, B., Zeller, G., Mende, D., Alberti, A., Cornejo-Castillo, F., Costea, P., Cruaud, C., d'Oviedo, F., Engelen, S., Ferrera, I., Gasol, J., Guidi, L., Hildebrand, F., Kokoszka, F., Lepoivre, C., Lima-Mendez, G., Poulain, J., Poulos, B., Royo-Llonch, M., Sarmento, H., Vieira-Silva, S., Dimier, C., Picheral, M., Searson, S., Kandels-Lewis, S., *Tara* Oceans coordinators, Bowler, C., de Vargas, C., Gorsky, G., Grimsley, N., Hingamp, P., Iudicone, D., Jaillon, O., Not, F., Ogata, H., Pesart, S., Speich, S., Stemmann, L., Sullivan, M., Weissenbach, J., Wincker, P., Karsenti, E., Raes, J., Acinas, S., and Bork, P. (2015).
- [Zhuang et al., 2011] Zhuang, J., Wang, J., Hoi, S., and Lan, X. (2011). Unsupervised multiple kernel clustering. Journal of Machine Learning Research: Workshop and Conference Proceedings, 20:129–144.