Representing and Mapping 3D Imaging and Spatial-omics Data Simultaneously Across Scales with Image-Varifold

Benjamin Charlier (MIAT, INRAE)

IMT, Toulouse — 13 juin 2025.

- **Context:** Analysis of spatial transcriptomics data, characterized by multiple modalities and scales, high dimensionality, incomplete observations, and limited sample sizes.
- Collaborators: (1) CIS, JHU (Baltimore): M. Anant, J. Fan, M. Miller, K. Stouffer, L. Younès; (2) ENS (Paris-Saclay), INRAE (Toulouse)): B. C., A. Trouvé; (3) Allen institute (Seattle): X.Chen, M. Kunst, L. Ng, M. Rue, H. Zeng;
- Topic: Presentation of cross-modality Mapping implemented in the cross-modality image-varifold LDDMM (xIV-LDDMM) toolbox. https://github.com/kstouff4/xIV-LDDMM-Particle

Global Alignment of Spatial Transcriptomics and Brain Atlas



- Black dots: BARseq spatial transcriptomics data (104 genes)
- Colored regions: Allen CCFv3 brain atlas (around 700 anatomical regions)

Stouffer KM, Trouvé A, Younès L, et al. Cross-modality mapping using image varifolds to align tissue-scale atlases to molecular-scale measures with application to 2D brain sections. Nat Commun. (2024)



Stouffer KM, Chen X, Zeng H, et al. xIV-LDDMM Toolkit: A Suite of Image-Varifold Based Technologies for Representing and Mapping 3D Imaging and Spatial-omics Data Simultaneously Across Scales. Prepint. (2025)

- 1. **Non-rigid deformations:** Large Deformation Diffeomorphic Metric Mapping (LDDMM) for flexible geometric alignment.
- 2. Data representation and distances: Use of the (image) varifold framework to define geometry-aware similarity measures.
- 3. **Computational solutions:** Multiresolution strategies and a versatile, parallelized implementation for scalable performance.
- 4. **Cross-modality data integration:** A registration formulation that accommodates differences in modality and spatial scale.

Non-Rigid Deformation with LDDMM

Varifold norms

Cross modalities Mapping Using Varifolds

Geometrical deformations: RKHS of vectors fields

• Space of vectors fields V : an RKHS of vectors fields (smooth, vanishing at infinity). There exists a kernel $K_V : \mathbb{R}^D \times \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$ such that

$$\mathsf{Span}\{\delta_x^{\alpha} = K_V(x, \cdot)\alpha, x \in \mathbb{R}^D, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^D\}$$

is dense in V. In practice, D = 2, 3 and

$$K_V(x,y) = e^{-\frac{||x-y||^2}{\sigma^2}} Id_D.$$



Geometrical deformations: RKHS of vectors fields

• Space of vectors fields V : an RKHS of vectors fields (smooth, vanishing at infinity). There exists a kernel $K_V : \mathbb{R}^D \times \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$ such that

$$\mathsf{Span}\{\delta_x^\alpha = K_V(x,\cdot)\alpha, x \in \mathbb{R}^D, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^D\}$$

is dense in V. In practice, D = 2, 3 and

$$K_V(x,y) = e^{-\frac{||x-y||^2}{\sigma^2}} Id_D.$$



Geometrical deformations: RKHS of vectors fields

• Space of vectors fields V : an RKHS of vectors fields (smooth, vanishing at infinity). There exists a kernel $K_V : \mathbb{R}^D \times \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$ such that

$$\mathsf{Span}\{\delta_x^\alpha = K_V(x,\cdot)\alpha, x \in \mathbb{R}^D, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^D\}$$

is dense in V. In practice, D = 2, 3 and

$$K_V(x,y) = e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{\sigma^2}} Id_D.$$















The space V contains smooth vectors fields vanishing at infinity.

The space V contains smooth vectors fields vanishing at infinity.

• Group action : Let $L_V^2 \doteq L^2([0, 1], V)$. For all $v \in L_V^2$, $\varphi_1^v(\cdot)$ is a C^1 -difféomorphism of \mathbb{R}^D . The set

$$G_V = \{\varphi_1^V : \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}^D, v \in L_V^2\}$$

is a group endowed with the (right invariant) distance

$$d^{2}(\mathrm{Id},\varphi) = \inf\{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^{2}_{V}}^{2} \doteq \int_{0}^{1} \|\mathbf{v}_{t}\|_{V}^{2} dt, \dot{\varphi} = \mathbf{v} \circ \varphi, \varphi_{1} = \varphi\}$$

• Initial momentum : vectors field $p_0 : \mathbb{R}^D \to \mathbb{R}^D$ generating minimum energy deformations by integrating an Hamiltonian system.

Hamiltonian framework

• Momentums $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = (x_k, p_k)_{1 \le k \le N}$ and Hamiltonian :

$$H(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{v}_t) = (\mathbf{p}_t | \mathbf{v}_t \cdot \mathbf{x}_t)_{V^*, V} - \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}_t|_V^2$$

Hamiltonian framework

• Momentums $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = (x_k, p_k)_{1 \le k \le N}$ and Hamiltonian :

$$H(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{v}_t) = (\mathbf{p}_t | \mathbf{v}_t \cdot \mathbf{x}_t)_{V^*, V} - \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}_t|_V^2$$

• Optimal controls (PMP):

$$v(\cdot) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} K_V(\cdot, x_k) p_k$$

Hamiltonian framework

• Momentums $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = (x_k, p_k)_{1 \le k \le N}$ and Hamiltonian :

$$H(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{p}_t, \mathbf{v}_t) = (\mathbf{p}_t | \mathbf{v}_t \cdot \mathbf{x}_t)_{V^*, V} - \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}_t|_V^2$$

• Optimal controls (PMP):

$$v(\cdot) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} K_V(\cdot, x_k) p_k$$

• Reduced Hamiltonian:

$$H_r(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{p}^T K_V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})\mathbf{p}$$

• Shooting equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_t = \partial_p H_r(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{p}_t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{p}}_t = -\partial_x H_r(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{p}_t) \end{cases}$$

Non-Rigid Deformation with LDDMM

Varifold norms

Cross modalities Mapping Using Varifolds

Geometric measure theory to compare shapes



Data with **geometrical** information with (possibly) a feature attached (**signal**, label, etc.)



Glaunès, Vaillant. Surface Matching via currents. (2006)





Kaltenmark et al. A general framework for curve and surface comparison and registration with oriented varifolds. (2017)

X is curve or surface in \mathbb{R}^3 .

• A varifold μ_X is a **distribution** on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$, *i.e.* (position \times tangent space orientation).



• A Dirac $\delta_{(x,t)}$ is a singular mass located at position $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ in the direction of $t \in S^2$.

Remark: invariance to parametrization.

Discrete shapes are polyhedral objects $X = \bigcup X_i$.

• Each cell X_i (1D: segments, 2d: triangles) has a corresponding varifold μ_{X_i} approximated by $r_i \delta_{(X_i, t_i)}$:



• Extend to X by linearity: $\tilde{\mu}_X = \sum_i r_i \delta_{(\mathbf{x}_i, t_i)} \approx \mu_X$.

Definition

A varifold on \mathbb{R}^d is a distribution (or measure) on the space

 $\mathbb{R}^d \times G_k(\mathbb{R}^d),$

where $G_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of k-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d (Grassmannian).

Definition

A *varifold* on \mathbb{R}^d is a distribution (or measure) on the space

 $\mathbb{R}^d \times G_k(\mathbb{R}^d),$

where $G_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of k-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d (Grassmannian).

• A Dirac $\delta_{(x, \overrightarrow{n})}$ corresponds to a singular mass located at position $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in the direction of the subspace $\operatorname{Vect}(\overrightarrow{n})$.

Definition

A varifold on \mathbb{R}^d is a distribution (or measure) on the space

 $\mathbb{R}^d \times G_k(\mathbb{R}^d),$

where $G_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of k-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d (Grassmannian).

- A Dirac $\delta_{(x, \overrightarrow{n})}$ corresponds to a singular mass located at position $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in the direction of the subspace $\operatorname{Vect}(\overrightarrow{n})$.
- To any non-oriented shape X corresponds the fvarifold μ_X defined for all $\omega \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times G_k(\mathbb{R}^d))$:

$$\mu_{X}(\omega) = \int_{X} \omega(\mathbf{x}, T_{\mathbf{x}}X) d\mathcal{H}^{2}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \left(\sum_{i} r_{i} \delta_{(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \vec{r}_{i})}\right) (\omega)$$

Choose a RKHS of test functions embedded in $C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times G_k(\mathbb{R}^d))$...

RKHS: Let *W* be the RKHS dense in $C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2)$ generated by a product kernel $k_{pos} \otimes k_{or} : (\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ induces a Hilbert space structure on the set of shapes that writes:

 $\langle \mu_{(X,f)}, \mu_{(Y,g)} \rangle_{W'}$

RKHS: Let *W* be the RKHS dense in $C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2)$ generated by a product kernel $k_{pos} \otimes k_{or} : (\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ induces a Hilbert space structure on the set of shapes that writes:

$$\langle \mu_{(X,f)}, \mu_{(Y,g)} \rangle_{W'} = \int_{X \times Y} k_{\text{pos}}(X, y) k_{\text{or}}(T_X X, T_Y Y) d\mathcal{H}^2(X) d\mathcal{H}^2(y)$$

RKHS: Let *W* be the RKHS dense in $C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2)$ generated by a product kernel $k_{pos} \otimes k_{or} : (\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ induces a Hilbert space structure on the set of shapes that writes:

$$\langle \mu_{(X,f)}, \mu_{(Y,g)} \rangle_{W'} = \int_{X \times Y} k_{pos}(x, y) k_{or}(T_x X, T_y Y) d\mathcal{H}^2(x) d\mathcal{H}^2(y)$$

$$\approx \sum_i \sum_j k_{pos}(x_i, y_j) k_{or}(\overrightarrow{n}_i, \overrightarrow{m}_j)$$

RKHS: Let *W* be the RKHS dense in $C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2)$ generated by a product kernel $k_{pos} \otimes k_{or} : (\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ induces a Hilbert space structure on the set of shapes that writes:

Distance:

$$\|\mu_{(X,f)} - \mu_{(Y,g)}\|_{W'}^2 = \left\langle \mu_{(X,f)}, \mu_{(X,f)} \right\rangle_{W'} + \left\langle \mu_{(Y,g)}, \mu_{(Y,g)} \right\rangle_{W'} - 2 \left\langle \mu_{(X,f)}, \mu_{(Y,g)} \right\rangle_{W'}.$$

Discrete approximation



Discrete approximation



Discrete approximation



$$\begin{split} r_{1}r_{2} \left\langle k_{pos} \otimes k_{or}((x, \overrightarrow{n}), \cdot), k_{pos} \otimes k_{or}((y, \overrightarrow{m}), \cdot) \right\rangle \\ &= r_{1}r_{2}k_{pos} \otimes k_{or}((x, \overrightarrow{n}), (y, \overrightarrow{m})) \end{split}$$

The various choices of kernels for k_{pos} , k_{or} , k_{siq} offer a wide range of different metrics:

• Gaussian kernels for *k*_{pos} and *k*_{sig} :

$$k_{pos}(x,y) = e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{\sigma_0^2}}$$

 σ_0 measures the typical scale on spatial positions.

The various choices of kernels for k_{pos} , k_{or} , k_{siq} offer a wide range of different metrics:

• Gaussian kernels for *k_{pos}* and *k_{sig}* :

$$k_{\text{pos}}(x,y) = e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{\sigma_0^2}} + e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{\sigma_1^2}} + e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{\sigma_2^2}}$$

 σ_0 measures the typical scale on spatial positions.Use sums of kernel for multiple scales.

The various choices of kernels for k_{pos} , k_{or} , k_{siq} offer a wide range of different metrics:

• Gaussian kernels for k_{pos} and k_{sig} :

$$k_{\text{pos}}(x,y) = e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{\sigma_0^2}} + e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{\sigma_1^2}} + e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{\sigma_2^2}}$$

 σ_0 measures the typical scale on spatial positions.Use sums of kernel for multiple scales.

- For curves or surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3,$ Grassmann manifold by non-oriented tangent or normal unit vectors.

$$k_{or}(\vec{n},\vec{n}') = \langle \vec{n},\vec{n}' \rangle^2$$
 Binet-Cauchy kernel
 $k_{or}(\vec{n},\vec{n}') = e^{-\frac{2}{\sigma_t^2}(1-\langle \vec{n},\vec{n}' \rangle^2)}$ Gaussian kernel

Remark: Trivial to implement with KeOps.

Implementation with KeOps (1/2)

Gaussian-CauchyBinet kernel $(K(x, y, u, v)b)_i = \sum_j \exp(-\sigma ||x_i - y_j||^2) \langle u_i, v_j \rangle^2 b_j$

```
from pykeops.torch import Vi, Vj

def GaussLinKernel(sigma):
    x, y, u, v, b = Vi(0, 3), Vj(1, 3), Vi(2, 3), Vj(3, 3), Vj(4, 1)
    gamma = 1 / (sigma * sigma)
    D2 = x.sqdist(y)
    K = (-D2 * gamma).exp() * (u * v).sum() ** 2
    return (K * b).sum reduction(axis=1)
```

Implementation with KeOps (1/2)

Gaussian-CauchyBinet kernel $(K(x, y, u, v)b)_i = \sum_j \exp(-\sigma ||x_i - y_j||^2) \langle u_i, v_j \rangle^2 b_j$

```
from pykeops.torch import Vi, Vj

def GaussLinKernel(sigma):
    x, y, u, v, b = Vi(0, 3), Vj(1, 3), Vi(2, 3), Vj(3, 3), Vj(4, 1)
    gamma = 1 / (sigma * sigma)
    D2 = x.sqdist(y)
    K = (-D2 * gamma).exp() * (u * v).sum() ** 2
    return (K * b).sum reduction(axis=1)
```

Convert discrete mesh to Varifold dirac

Varifold data attachment loss for surfaces

```
def lossVarifoldSurf(VS, FS, VT, FT, K):
    """VS, VI: vertices coordinates of target surface,
    FS, FT: face connectivity of source and target surfaces
    K: kernel"""
    CT, LT, NTn = get_center_length_normal(FT, VT)
    CS, LS, NSn = get_center_length_normal(FS, VS)
    return ( (LT * K(CT, CT, NTn, NTn, LT)).sum()
        + (LS * K(CS, CS, NSn, NSn, LS)).sum()
        - 2 * (LS * K(CS, CT, NSn, NTn, LT)).sum() )
```

Compatible with torch autodiff:

```
VS, FS, VT, FT = torch.load(datafile)
q0 = VS.clone().detach().to("cuda").requires_grad_(True)
L = lossVarifoldSurf(q0, FS, VT, FT, GaussLinKernel(sigma))
L.backward()
```

Returns the gradient of the varifold norm with respect to the vertex coordiantes of the source shape.





Observation





Reconstruction





Observation





Reconstruction





Observation





Reconstruction





Observation





Reconstruction

















Non-Rigid Deformation with LDDMM

Varifold norms

Cross modalities Mapping Using Varifolds



· Green: input. Red: output. Gray: technologies

Dataset: Spatial transcryptomics data

Data at molecular scale :

• **BARseq:** 32–40 coronal brain sections, identifying 39 or 52 cell types, based on raw expression of 104 genes. Hemibrain and full brain.



- X. Chen et al. Whole-cortex in situ sequencing reveals input-dependent area identity. Nature, 2024.
- MERFISH: 56 coronal brain sections, profiling 500 genes.

Partial acquisitions (censored data). Feature space is denoted \mathcal{F} .

• Data at tissue scale : Allen Common Coordinate Framework (CCFv3), Franklin and Paxinos Atlas, etc...





Wang, Q., et al. The allen mouse brain common coordinate framework: a 3d reference atlas. Cell 181(4), 936–953 (2020)

• Feature space are atlas regions (ontology) denoted \mathcal{L} . Assume a spatial homogeneity inside each region: for each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$, gene distributions (on set \mathcal{F}) are similar at every sites belonging to ℓ .

• Data at tissue scale : Allen Common Coordinate Framework (CCFv3), Franklin and Paxinos Atlas, etc...





Wang, Q., et al. The allen mouse brain common coordinate framework: a 3d reference atlas. Cell 181(4), 936–953 (2020)

• Feature space are atlas regions (ontology) denoted \mathcal{L} . Assume a spatial homogeneity inside each region: for each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$, gene distributions (on set \mathcal{F}) are similar at every sites belonging to ℓ .

• **Task:** Compare different spatial scales (tissue-level vs. molecular-level) and feature types (anatomical ontology vs. gene expression) ...



"The expression patterns of representative genes in Allen Brain Atlas (left half) compared to the current dataset (right half)."



X. Chen et al. Whole-cortex in situ sequencing reveals input-dependent area identity. Nature, 2014.

- Goal: Automate the comparison and quantify similarity across data modalities.
- Idea: Embed all data types (transcriptomics and atlases) into a shared (kernel) varifold space for unified analysis.

Generic varifold framework

A single read is a Dirac mass in a product space (location, feature) at:

- location: $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Typically d = 2, 3
- feature distribution: $wp \in \mathcal{M}(F)$, where $w \ge 0$ is a weight and p is a probability distribution over feature space F. Typically $F = \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}$.
- + BARseq: ${\cal F}$ is the set of cell type (| ${\cal F}|\sim$ 30)
 - w is total cells at location x
 - $\cdot \ p \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ is the probability distribution on cell type.



Generic varifold framework

A single read is a Dirac mass in a product space (location, feature) at:

- location: $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Typically d = 2, 3
- feature distribution: $wp \in \mathcal{M}(F)$, where $w \ge 0$ is a weight and p is a probability distribution over feature space F. Typically $F = \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}$.
- MERFISH: ${\cal F}$ is the set of gene type (| ${\cal F}|\sim$ 700)
 - w is total mRNA at location x,
 - $\cdot p \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ is the probability distribution on gene.



Generic varifold framework

A single read is a Dirac mass in a product space (location, feature) at:

- location: $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Typically d = 2, 3
- feature distribution: $wp \in \mathcal{M}(F)$, where $w \ge 0$ is a weight and p is a probability distribution over feature space F. Typically $F = \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}$.
- \cdot CCFv3 atlas: $\mathcal L$ ontology labels
 - $\cdot w = 1$ for location x in foreground tissue
 - $p = \delta_{\ell_X} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L})$ is the dirac probability distribution on ontology label at the label ℓ_x of x (with $|\mathcal{L}| \sim 500$).



• Image Varifold: Full acquisition is a linear combination of Dirac indexed by $i \in I$:

$$\mu = \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{\mathsf{x}_i} \otimes \mathsf{w}_i \mathsf{p}_i.$$

with varifold norm

$$\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{i,j \in I} w_i w_j K_{\sigma}(x_i, x_j) \sum_{f,g \in \mathcal{F}} K_F(f,g) p_i(f) p_j(g).$$

where K_{σ} is spatial kernel (Gaussian), K_F is a def pos matrix (identity)

- Computational intensity: Depending on application we have:
 - $|I| \sim 10^4, 10^5, 10^6, \frac{10^7}{10^7}$ (resolution)
 - $\cdot ~ |\mathcal{F}|, |\mathcal{L}| \sim$ 10, 100, 1000 (feature size)

Resampling adjust data resolution to kernel bandwidth

• **Cross modality:** allow us to define distance between objects in the same varifold space. But what's happened when the feature spaces are different ?

Offline Scale-Space Resampling

The full resolution acquisition is $\mu = \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{x_i} \otimes w_i p_i$.

• Series of scales: Let $\sigma_1 = 200 \ \mu m > \sigma_2 = 100 \ \mu m > \sigma_3 = 50 \ \mu m > \dots$ and

$$\mu_{\sigma} = \sum_{i \in I_{\sigma}} \delta_{x_i} \otimes w_i p_i, \ \{x_i, i \in I_{\sigma}\}, \text{ for } \sigma = \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$$

- Closest approximation in varifold norm. Each μ_σ is defined by

$$\min_{x_i,w_i,p_i,i\in I_{\sigma}} \|\mu_{\sigma}-\mu\|_{M}$$

• Practical problem: μ do not fit in GPU memory (tiled optimization procedure).



Source and target: MERFISH with location and gene type \mathcal{F} at molecular scale (feature)

Single modality Registration

Source and target: MERFISH with location and gene type \mathcal{F} at molecular scale (feature) The single modality spatial deformation $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ acts as

$$\varphi \cdot \mu = \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{\varphi(\mathbf{x}_i)} \otimes \left(|D\varphi|_{\mathbf{x}_i} \mathbf{w}_i \right) p_i,$$

where determinant of the Jacobian capture expansion/contraction.



Single modality Registration

Source and target: MERFISH with location and gene type \mathcal{F} at molecular scale (feature) The single modality spatial deformation $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ acts as

$$\varphi \cdot \mu = \sum_{i \in I} \delta_{\varphi(\mathbf{x}_i)} \otimes \left(|D\varphi|_{\mathbf{x}_i} \mathbf{w}_i \right) p_i,$$

where determinant of the Jacobian capture expansion/contraction.



Minimize $pen(\varphi) + \|\varphi \cdot \mu_{Source} - \mu_{Target}\|_{M}^{2}$ with respect to

- Spatial correspondence: $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, an affine motion and diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^d
- Hamiltonian formulation (Geodesic shooting) is adapted to update the weight

Cross modality Registration

Source: Atlas with location and atlas ontology \mathcal{L} at tissue scale (feature) **Target:** MERFISH with location and gene type \mathcal{F} at molecular scale (feature) **Spatial homogeneity assumption:** there exists a (latent) dictionary $(\pi_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}}$ where each $\pi_{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$.

Cross modality Registration

Source: Atlas with location and atlas ontology $\mathcal L$ at tissue scale (feature)

Target: MERFISH with location and gene type \mathcal{F} at molecular scale (feature)

Spatial homogeneity assumption: there exists a (latent) dictionary $(\pi_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}}$ where each $\pi_{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$.

The cross modality spatial deformation (φ, π) acts as

$$(\varphi, \pi) \cdot \mu^{A} = (\varphi, \pi) \cdot \sum_{i \in I^{A}} \delta_{X_{i}} \otimes \underbrace{\mathbb{W}_{i}^{A} p_{i}^{A}}_{\in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L})}$$

Remember that since μ^A is an atlas, $w_i^A = 1$ and p_i is a Dirac at ℓ_{x_i} ("one hot").

$$egin{aligned} &(arphi,\pi)\cdot\mu^{A}\doteqarphi\cdot\sum_{i\in l^{A}}\delta_{X_{i}}\otimes\underbrace{\pi_{\ell_{X_{i}}}}_{\in\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})}\ &=\sum_{i\in l}\delta_{arphi(X_{i})}\otimes\underbrace{|Darphi|_{x_{i}}\pi_{\ell_{X_{i}}}}_{\in\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})} \end{aligned}$$

where determinant of the Jacobian capture expansion/contraction. Warning: notation switch between the 2 papers...

Deformations of varifolds

Minimize $pen(\varphi) + pen(\pi) + \|(\varphi, \pi) \cdot \mu^{A} - \mu^{Target}\|_{M}^{2}$ with respect to

- · Spatial correspondence: $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, an affine motion and diffeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^d
- Feature correspondence: $(\pi_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}}$ where each $\pi_{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$ is a (latent) distribution over \mathcal{F} which should be similar to the $w_i p_i$'s (of the target) in region ℓ .

• KL penalty:
$$pen(\pi_{\ell}) = \frac{M_{\ell}^{A}}{\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} M_{f}^{Target}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \pi_{\ell}(f) \log\left(\frac{\bar{\pi}_{\ell}(f)}{1/|\mathcal{F}|}\right)$$
 where M_{ℓ}^{A} (resp. M_{f}^{Target}) is total mass in region ℓ (resp. feature f), $\bar{\pi}_{\ell}(f) \doteq \frac{\pi_{\ell}(f)}{\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \pi_{\ell}(f)}$.



CCFv3 and BARseq: Global Geometric Alignment



- \cdot Black dots: \sim 30 coronal hemi-sections of BARseq spatial transcriptomics data
- Regions denoted by color CCFv3
- Good overlap of low cell density area (BARseq) with CCFv3 corpus callosum (CC), and layer 2/3 cells (BARseq) with CCFv3 layer 2/3.

CCFv3 and BARseq: : Local Geometric Alignment



- Small spheres: BARseq cell center colored according to layer-specific cell type (L2/3 (green), L4/5 (blue), L5 (purple), L6 (grey))
- Plain circles color: CCFv3 Region
- Boundaries between cell types align to cortical layer delineations in the CCFv3, and both corpus callosum (CC) and layer 1 (L1) accurately align with low cell density areas.



20 selected variable genes. Resolution is $200 \mu m$