

# **GM**toolbox

Inference in Graphical Models

Reference manual Version 1.0.1 - August 2019



Marie-Josée Cros Nathalie Peyrard Régis Sabbadin

# Contents

1	General description	3
<b>2</b>	Graphical model representation	4
	2.1 Mathematical definition of a graphical model	4
	2.2 Description of a factor graph	5
	2.3 Description of a region graph	8
	2.4 Description of marginals	10
	2.5 Description of beliefs on regions	11
	2.6 Description of evidence	12
3	Factor graph generation functions	13
0	3.1 gm example Sprinkler	13
	3.2 gm avample Ecology	15
	3.2 gintexample_fcology	10
	<b>5.5</b> gm_example_OHMM	10
	3.4 gm_example_DBN	19
	3.5 gm_example_CHMM	21
	$3.6 \text{ gm}_{create}$	24
	3.7 gm_separate_fg	26
<b>4</b>	Generalized Belief Propagation	28
	4.1 gm_infer_GBP	28
	4.2 gm_rg_JT	32
	4.3 gm_rg_BETHE	36
	4.4 gm_rg_CVM	38
	4.5 gm include evidence	40
	4.6 gm_plot_belief_evolution	42
-	TT, 11, 1	
9		44
	5.1 gm_check_tg	44
	5.2 gm_check_rg	44
	5.3 $gm_check_rg_JT$	45
	5.4 $\operatorname{gm\_plot\_fg}$	46
	5.5 $gm_plot_rg$	48
	5.6 $gm\_read\_fg$	50
	5.7 gm_read_evidence	51
	5.8 gm_read_MAR	52
	5.9 gm_read_BEL	52
	5.10 gm_write_fg	53
	5.11 gm_write_evidence	54
	5.12 gm write MAR	54
	5.13 gm_write_BEL	55
G	Development	FC
U	C 1 Tests	00 EC
	0.1 16518	00 50
	0.2 Comparison with IIDDAI	56
Bi	bliography	<b>59</b>

### **GMtoolbox** functions

# 1 General description

The goal of GMtoolbox is to provide probability estimation by inference in probabilistic Graphical Models (GM) [1] for non computer science specialists.

The toolbox allows to:

- represent a graphical model as a factor graph,
- infer marginals based on apriori knowledge or observation.

The inference relies on the Generalized Belief Propagation algorithm parent-to-child [3]. To be sure of pertinence, we checked that problems of reasonable size were tractable and that execution time was not too long comparing with libDAI [2].

For functions gm\_plot\_fg, gm\_plot\_rg, gm\_separate\_fg and util/setCountingNumbers a version of Matlab higher than R2015b is required (because they use the graph and digraph classes).

# 2 Graphical model representation

### 2.1 Mathematical definition of a graphical model

Definition from [3].

Let  $X = \{X_1, \ldots, X_N\}$  be a set of N random variables taking value in a finite set. We denote by  $x_i$  a realization of variable  $X_i$  and by x a realization of the random vector X. The joint law  $p(x) =_{def} P(X = x)$  is that of a graphical model if can be factorized as a product of functions, each involving only a subset of all the variables:

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{a \in \{A, B, \dots, M\}} f_a(x_a),$$
(2.1)

where a is an index labeling M functions  $f_A, f_B \dots, f_M$  and the scope of function  $f_a$  is  $x_a$ , a subset of of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ . We will often identify a with the indices of the variables in  $x_a$ .

We suppose that  $f_a(x_a)$  is a non negative and finite function. Z is the normalizing constant of p. If the graphical model is a Bayesian network, Z = 1 and the functions  $f_a$  are conditional probabilities.

A classical example of Bayesian network is the Sprinkler model. It models the relations between state of grass: wet/not (variable W), use of sprinkler: on/off (variable S), rain : yes/no (variable R) and cloudy sky : yes/no (variable C). The joint law is p(C, S, R, W) = p(C)p(S | C)p(R | C)p(W | S, R).



p(W S,R)	W=true	W=false
S=true, R=true	1	0
S=true, R=false	0.9	0.1
S=false, R=true	0.9	0.1
S=false, R=false	0.01	0.99

The GM toolbox provides tools to compute marginals of the distribution p (also called beliefs). For instance, we can use it to compute the probability distribution of variable  $X_i$ , defined by (taking i = 1)

$$p_1(X_1 = x_1^*) = \sum_{x_2} \sum_{x_3} \dots \sum_{x_n} p(x_1^*, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

More generally, we can also use the toolbox to compute the joint law of a subset of variables  $X_S =$ 

 ${x_i}_{i\in S}$ , with  $S \subset {1, \ldots, n}$ :

$$p(X_S = x_S^*) = \sum_{x_{\bar{S}}} p(x_{\bar{S}}, x_S^*),$$

with  $\overline{S} = \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus S$  The algorithm for computing these marginals relies on the factor graph representation of a graphical model, and on the notion of region graph.

### 2.2 Description of a factor graph

#### 2.2.1 Definition

Definition from [3].

It is possible to associate to the factorized expression (2.1) a factor graph which represents graphically which variables are in the scope of which function. It is a bipartite graph, composed of *variable nodes* and *factor nodes*. There is one variable node per variable  $X_i$  and one factor node per function  $f_a$ . Edges are only between a variable and a factor node. There exists an edge between variable node iand factor node a if and only if  $x_i$  is in the scope of  $f_a$ .

For example (see figure 13 of [3]), here is a joint law of N = 7 random variables, factorized into M = 9 factors.

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{7} f_i(x_i) \right) f_A(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5) f_B(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_6) f_C(x_1, x_3, x_4, x_7)$$
(2.2)

Here is the associated factor graph.



And the factor graph of the Sprinkler Bayesian network is composed of 4 factors f1(C), f2(C,S), f3(C,R), f4(S,R,W).



### 2.2.2 Matlab representation

A factor graph may be composed of more than one connex component, or can become disconnected when evidences are taken into account. Each connex component is seen a sub factor graph of the main one, and the Matlab structure stores all the sub factor graphs in a meta structure. More precisely, a **factor graph** is represented in a structure, called fg.

Let nv be the number of variables, then the element of the structure are the followings:

fg.Card	Cardinality of variables array $(1 \ge nv)$ of integer upper than or equal to 2
fg.Name	Names of variables (optional) cell array $(1 \ge nv)$ of string
fg.sfg	All sub factor graphs cell array (1 x) of sub factor graph structure
fg.evidence	observation (optional) array $(1 \ge nv)$ of integer

If variable 1 is of cardinality 2, possible states are 1 and 2. That is first possible state of variable is 1.

Note that is the field evidence exists, the field sfg defining sub factor graphs must be compatible with evidences. The function gm\_include\_evidence has this role to update sub factor graphs (and related factors) to take into account evidences.

The factor graph fg is composed of several **sub factor graphs** represented in a structure called **sfg**. Let nv' (nv' < nv) be the number of variables and nf the number of factors of a sub factor graph. The element of the structure are the followings:

sfg.Vcode	Labels of variables in fg vector $(1 \ge nv')$ of integer
sfg.F	Factors cell array $(1 \ge nf)$ of factors
sfg.BG	Utilitary and redundant field: compact format to express variables of each factor logical matrix $(nf \ge nv')$ BG(i,j) = true when factor i includes variable j

A factor with  $nq \ (nq \le nv')$  variables is represented in a structure, called factor:

factor.V	variables array $(1 \ge nq)$ of integer
factor.T	table of a factor , two possible types - an array of $nq$ dimensions: dimension $d$ has the cardinality of the corresponding variable; - a function handler

### Example

Here is the factor graph of the classic toy example Sprinkler (see 3.1 for a description).

```
function fg = gm_example_Sprinkler()
   % Define sprinkler toy example factor graph.
  % Variable state: true/wet (coded 1) or false/dry (coded 2).
   fg.Card = [2 2 2 2]; % cardinalities of variables
   fg.Name = {'Cloudy', 'Sprinkler', 'Rain', 'Wet'}; % names of variables
   \% The model is composed on a single sub-graph fg
   sfg.Vcode = [1 2 3 4];
   F1.V = [1];
                   % factor 1
  F1.T = @() [ 0.5; 0.5 ];
                                % function handler
  F2.V = [1 2]; % factor 2
  F2.T = @() [ 0.5, 0.5; 0.9, 0.1];
                                         % function handler
  F3.V = [1 3];
                  % factor 3
  F3.T = @() [ 0.8, 0.2; 0.2 0.8];
                                        % function handler
  F4.V = [2 3 4]; \% factor 4
  F4.T = @f4;
                  % function handler
   sfg.F ={F1, F2, F3, F4}; % four factors
   sfg.BG = setBG(4, sfg.F); % matrix factors x variables
   fg.sfg{1}=sfg;
```

The function setBG computes automatically the element sfg.BG from the number of factors and their definition. It is defined in the toolbox (util/setBG.m).

Tables of factors are defined using function handlers. For factor F4, the function is defined explicitly in a function called cpt.

```
function cpt = f4()
    cpt(1,1,:) = [ 1 0]; % Sprinkler, Rain
    cpt(1,2,:) = [ 0.9 0.1]; % Sprinkler, no Rain
    cpt(2,1,:) = [ 0.9 0.1]; % no Sprinkler, Rain
    cpt(2,2,:) = [ 0.01 0.99]; % no Sprinkler, no Rain
end
```

Using function handler can be useful for factor graph with several factors sharing the same table, as it can be the case in Dynamic Bayesian Networks (DBN) or in Markov Random Fields (MRF).

Since tables of factors may be defined either directly in an array or using a function handler, to see a table, it is necessary to know its type. This can be done as follow.

```
>> fg = gm_example_Sprinkler()
fg =
 struct with fields:
    Card: [2 2 2 2]
    Name: {'Cloudy' 'Sprinkler'
                                   'Rain'
                                           'Wet'}
     sfg: {[1×1 struct]}
>> if isa(fg.sfg{1}.F{4}.T, 'function_handle')
      fg.sfg{1}.F{4}.T()
   else
      fg.sfg{1}.F{4}.T
   end
ans(:,:,1) =
    1.0000
              0.9000
   0.9000
              0.0100
ans(:,:,2) =
              0.1000
         0
    0.1000
              0.9900
```

Suppose now that it is observed that there is no rain and no use of sprinkler that is the state of variables 2 and 3 is 1. Evidence is then coded [0 1 1 0]. Unknown state of variable 1 and 4 is coded 0. When this evidence is included, the obtained factor graph is composed of 2 disconnected sub factor

graphs, each composed of one variable.

```
>> fg=gm_example_Sprinkler;
>> evidence = [0 1 1 0];
>> fge= gm_include_evidence(fg, evidence)
fge =
  struct with fields:
        Card: [2 2 2 2]
        Name: {'Cloudy'
                          'Sprinkler' 'Rain'
                                                 'Wet'}
         sfg: {[1×1 struct] [1×1 struct]}
    evidence: [0 1 1 0]
% explore the second sub factor graph
>> fge.sfg{2}
ans =
  struct with fields:
    Vcode: 4
        F: {[1 \times 1 \text{ struct}]}
       BG: 1
% explore its factor
>> fge.sfg{2}.F{1}
ans =
  struct with fields:
    V: 1
    T: @()T
>> fge.sfg{2}.F{1}.T()
ans =
     1
     0
```

The second sub factor graph concerns variable 4 (see fge.sfg2.Vcode ). Looking at its factor, it concerns the first variable of the sub factor graph (see fge.sfg2.F1.V) . The associated table indicates that for sure grass is dry (see T(1) with T=fge.sfg2.F1.T()).

# 2.3 Description of a region graph

### 2.3.1 Definition

Definition from [3].

A region R is a set of variable nodes  $V_R$  and a set of factor nodes  $F_R$  such that if a factor node  $f_a$  belongs to  $F_R$ , all variable nodes linked to  $f_a$  by an edge in the factor graph must belong to  $V_R$ . On contrary, if all the variables nodes linked to a factor are in  $V_R$ , that factor do not need to be in  $F_R$ .  $F_R$  can be empty.

A region graph is a labeled directed (acyclic) graph  $G = \langle V, E, L \rangle$  which nodes are regions, and whose edges satisfy some properties. If I is the set of indices of the factor graph (variable nodes and factor nodes together), then a node  $v \in V$  is labeled with a subset of I corresponding to the indices of variable and factor nodes in the region attached to v. We denote by l(v) the label of node v. Then the set of edges E of a region graph satisfies the following condition: A directed edge (or arc) e may exist pointing from vertex  $v_p$  to vertex  $v_c$  if  $l(v_c)$  is a subset of  $l(v_p)$ . All other arcs are forbidden. Therefore, by construction the region graph is acyclic.

In practice, in order to use the region graph concept to build approximate inference method, it is required that every factor and variable node of the factor graph is contained in at least one region of the region graph. Another condition is also required to ensure consistency of the beliefs computed by GBP: the regions containing a particular variable node i form a connected sub-graph of the region graph. This ensures that we can compute  $p(x_i)$  by marginalizing the belief of any region that contains i and we will obtain the same result. Several methods exist to build a valid region graph for GBP. The toolbox proposes three methods called: JT, BETHE, Cluster Variation Method (CVM).

To complete the definition of a region graph we defined the associated counting numbers, even if the Parent-to-Child algorithm does not use these numbers to build the message passing rules. however this numbers are used to check the validity of a region graph: they must sum to 1 (used in gm\_check\_rg function).

### 2.3.2 Matlab representation

A region graph, called rg is a set of sub region graphs, one for each sub factor graph of the graphical model. It is represented as a cell array  $(1 \times ...)$ , each cell containing the structure of a sub region graph, called srg. Let nr be the number of regions of the sub region graph, the structure has the following elements:

srg.Vr	variables in each region logical array $(nr \ge nv)$ Vr(i,j) = true when region i includes variable j
srg.Fr	factors in each region logical array $(nr \ge nf)$ Fr(i,j) = true when region i includes factor j
srg.Gr	adjacency matrix of regions logical array $(nr \ge nr)$ $Gr(i,j) = true$ when region $i \rightarrow$ region $j$
srg.cr	counting numbers of regions vector $(1 \ge nr)$ of integer

#### Example

```
>> fg=gm_example_Sprinkler();
>> rg = gm_rg_CVM( fg)
rg =
  1 \times 1 cell array
     \{1 \times 1 \text{ struct}\}
>> rg{1}
ans =
  struct with fields:
    Vr: [6 \times 4 \text{ logical}]
    Fr: [6×4 logical]
    Gr: [6 \times 6 \text{ logical}]
     cr: [1 1 1 -1 -1 -1]
>> rg{1}.Vr
ans =
  6 \times 4 logical array
   1
        1
             0
                  0
   1
        0
             1
                  0
   0
        1
             1
                  1
        0
             0
                  0
   1
   0
        1
             0
                  0
   0
        0
             1
                  0
>> rg{1}.Fr
```

ans =					
6×4	log	gical	arr	ay	
1	1	0	0		
1	0	1	0		
0	0	0	1		
1	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0		
>> rg{	1}.	Gr			
ans =					
6×6	log	gical	arr	ay	
0	0	0	1	1	С
0	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	С
0	0	0	0	0	С
0	0	0	0	0	C

The region graph created by the Cluster Variation Method(CVM) is the following:



# 2.4 Description of marginals

#### 2.4.1 Definition

The marginal of a variable  $X_i$  is the probability distribution of this the variable, i.e.  $\{p(x_i), \forall x_i\}$ . It is also often called the belief of variable  $X_i$ , and denoted  $b_i(x_i)$ .

Similarly, we define the belief of a region R as the marginal probability distribution of the variables of that region,  $b_R(x_{V_R})$ . Since beliefs are probability distribution they satisfy the normalization property:

$$\sum_{x_{V_R}} b_R(x_{V_R}) = 1,$$

and they are consistent with the marginals computed on subsets of the variables  $X_{V_R}$  In particular, the belief of  $X_i$  can be obtained by marginalizing the belief of any region implying  $X_i$ :

$$b_i(x_i) = \sum_{x_{V_R \setminus \{i\}}} b_R(x_{V_R}), \forall R \ s.t. \ i \in V_R.$$

#### 2.4.2 Matlab representation

The beliefs of all the variables of a factor graph fg are represented in a cell array called b.

cell array  $(1 \ge nv)$ b{i} is a vector  $(1 \ge \text{fg.Card}(i))$  of double

#### Example

b

```
>> fg=gm_example_Sprinkler();
>> rg = gm_rg_CVM( fg);
>> b = gm_infer_GBP(fg, rg)
b =
```

```
1 \times 4 cell array
     \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
                               \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
                                                        \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
                                                                                  \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
>> fg.Name
ans =
   1 \times 4 cell array
                           {'Sprinkler'}
                                                     {'Rain'}
                                                                        {'Wet'}
      {'Cloudy'}
>> b{4}
ans =
     0.8015
     0.1985
```

Then the probability of variable 'Wet' (coded 4) to be true (coded 1) is estimated to 0.8015.

# 2.5 Description of beliefs on regions

### 2.5.1 Definition

We define the belief of a region R as the marginal probability distribution of the variables of that region,  $b_R(x_{V_R})$ .

### 2.5.2 Matlab representation

The beliefs of all the regions of a factor graph fg are represented in a cell array called br. This cell array is structured as fg in sub factor graphs.

cell array  $(1 \ge n)$ , n is the total number of regions in the factor graph br{i} is a cell array  $(1 \ge nr_i)$ ,  $nr_i$  is the number of regions in the sub factor graph i br{i}{j} is an array of double of size fg.Card $(V_{R_j})$ ,  $V_{R_j}$  is the set of variables in region  $R_j$ 

#### Example

br

```
>> fg = gm_example_Sprinkler();
>> rg = gm_rg_CVM( fg);
>> [~, ~, br] = gm_infer_GBP(fg, rg)
br =
  1 \times 1 cell array
    \{1 \times 6 \text{ cell}\}
>> fg.Name
ans =
  1 \times 4 cell array
    {'Cloudy'}
                    {'Sprinkler'}
                                        {'Rain'}
                                                      {'Wet'}
>> rg{1}.Vr
ans =
  6 \times 4 logical array
       1
            0
                 0
   1
       0
            1
                 0
   1
   0
       1
            1
                 1
   1
       0
            0
                 0
            0
                 0
   0
       1
   0
       0
            1
                 0
>> % The region 1 contains 2 variables 'Cloudy' and 'Sprinkler'.
>> br{1}{1}
ans =
    0.2500
                0.2500
                0.0500
    0.4500
```

Looking at the beliefs of region 1 (containing 2 variables 'Cloudy' and 'Sprinkler'), the probability of 'Cloudy' set to yes (coded 1) and 'Sprinkler' set to on (coded 1) is estimated to 0.25.

# 2.6 Description of evidence

## 2.6.1 Definition

Evidence are observation (knowledge) of the state of some variables.

## 2.6.2 Matlab representation

The observations are represented in a vector called evidence.

evidence	observation value of variables, 0 for unknown vector $(1 \ge nv)$ of integer
----------	--

### Example

Г

In the Sprinkler example, assume that we know that variables Sprinkler and Rain are in state 1 (no sprinkler, no rain) and that the state of variables Cloudy and Wet.

>> evidence =  $[0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0]$ ; % no sprinkler and no rain !

# **3** Factor graph generation functions

# 3.1 gm\_example\_Sprinkler

### Description

A classical example of Bayesian network is the Sprinkler model. It models the relations between state of grass: wet/not (variable W), use of sprinkler: on/off (variable S), rain : yes/no (variable R) and cloudy sky : yes/no (variable C). The joint law is p(C, S, R, W) = p(C)p(S | C)p(R | C)p(W | S, R).



The factor graph of the sprinkler model has the following structure, with 4 factors f1(C), f2(C,S), f3(C,R), f4(S,R,W).

0.01

0.99



To express the factor graph (Matlab structure fg) in the toolbox, we first define an ordering of the variables (C, S, R, W) that defines the coding of variables: 1 for C, 2 for S, 3 for R, 4 for W. Then the cardinality of each variable is set in the Card attribut: fg.Card =  $[2\ 2\ 2\ 2]$ . Here all variable are boolean. We fix that 1 code false and 2 code true. Then we express each factor.

Factor f1, only involves variable C: f1.V=1; f1.T=[0.5;0.5]; fg.F{1}=f1;

S=false, R=false

Factor f2, involves variables C,S : f2.V=[1,2];  $f2.T=[0.5\ 0.5;\ 0.9\ 0.1]$ ;  $fg.F\{2\}=f2$ ; Factor f3, involves variables C,S : f2.V=[1,3];  $f2.T=[0.8\ 0.2;\ 0.2\ 0.8]$ ;  $fg.F\{3\}=f3$ ; Factor f4, involves variables S,R,W : f2.V=[2,3,4];  $f2.T(1,1,:)=[1\ 0]$ ;  $f2.T(1,2,:)=[0.9\ 0.1]$ ;  $f2.T(2,1,:)=[0.9\ 0.1]$ ;  $f2.T(2,2,:)=[0.01\ 0.99]$ ;  $fg.F\{4\}=f4$ ;

#### Syntax

fg = gmdp\_example\_Sprinkler()

#### Evaluation

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining the factor graph

### Example

```
>> fg = gm_example_Sprinkler()
fg =
  struct with fields:
    Card: [2 2 2 2]
    Name: {'Cloudy' 'Sprinkler' 'Rain' 'Wet'}
     sfg: {[1×1 struct]}
>> sfg = fg.sfg{1}
sfg =
  struct with fields:
    Vcode: [1 2 3 4]
       F: {[1×1 struct]
                             [1 \times 1 \text{ struct}] [1 \times 1 \text{ struct}] [1 \times 1 \text{ struct}]
       BG: [4 \times 4 \text{ logical}]
>> sfg.F{1}
ans =
  struct with fields:
    V: 1
    T: @()[0.5;0.5]
>> sfg.F{2}
ans =
  struct with fields:
    V: [1 2]
    T: @()[0.5,0.5;0.9,0.1]
>> sfg.F{3}
ans =
  struct with fields:
    V: [1 3]
    T: @()[0.8,0.2;0.2,0.8]
>> sfg.F{4}
ans =
  struct with fields:
    V: [2 3 4]
    T: @f4
>> sfg.F{4}.T()
ans(:,:,1) =
    1.0000
              0.9000
    0.9000
               0.0100
ans(:,:,2) =
               0.1000
        0
    0.1000
               0.9900
```

It is possible to visualize the structure of the unique sub factor graph associated to the Sprinkler model:

>> gm\_plot\_fg(fg)



# 3.2 gm\_example\_Ecology

### Description

We consider a very simplified ecological network, 4 species: plankton (P), zooplankton (Z), krill (K), marine mammals (M) which population dynamic are interdependent. We modelize the annual population dynamic by the following Dynamic Bayesian Network (DBN).



That is the population of plankton at time t+1  $(P^{t+1})$  is related to the population of plankton at time t  $(P^t)$  and the population of marine mammals  $(M^t)$  through feces, death... The other relations are prey-predator ones: marine mammals eat zooplankton that eat plankton. The considering qualitative states are low (1), normal (2) and high (3) population.

The transition probabilities are simplified and are the same for all species. Considering the species V depending of also of species v, the transition  $p(V^{t+1}|V^t, v^t)$  is defined by the following array T.

For example purpose, we choose p1=0.5, p2=0.2, p3=0.05, p4=0.01. Furthermore initial states are estimated quite normal  $p(V^0=1)=0.25, p(V^0=2)=0.5, p(V^0=3)=0.25$ .

We consider 3 years (2 time steps).

Lets now represent the relations for the entire graphical model with factors graph and variables.



#### Representation of the problem

We define the list of variables as follows:  $P^1, Z^1, K^1, M^1, P^2, Z^2, K^2, M^2, P^3, Z^3, K^3, M^3$ . Here is the same model with the coding numbers in Matlab for variables and factors.



### Syntax

fg = gm\_example\_Ecology()

### Arguments

No argument.

#### Evaluation

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining the factor graph

### Example

```
>> fg = gm_example_Ecology()
fg =
 struct with fields:
   Name: {1\times12 cell}
   Card: [3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3]
    sfg: {[1×1 struct]}
>> sfg = fg.sfg{1}
sfg =
  struct with fields:
  Vcode: [1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12]
       F: {1\times12 cell}
      BG: [12×12 logical]
>> sfg.F{1}
ans =
  struct with fields:
  V: [1 2 5]
   T: @()setTransition
>> sfg.F{1}.T()
ans(:,:,1) =
   0.5000
            0.2000
                       0.0100
   0.2000 0.0500 0.0100
   0.0100
            0.0100
                      0.0100
ans(:,:,2) =
   0.0100 0.0100
                      0.0100
   0.2000 0.5000
                      0.2000
   0.0100
             0.0100
                       0.0500
ans(:,:,3) =
   0.0100 0.0100
                      0.0100
                      0.2000
   0.0100 0.0500
   0.0100
             0.2000
                       0.5000
```

Plot of the factor graph.

>> gm\_plot\_fg(fg)



### 3.3 gm\_example\_CHMM

#### Description

A Hidden Markov Model (HMM) is composed of two variables: a sequence of hidden variables, which are never observed, and a sequence of observed variables (one per hidden variable) which state are known. The sequence of hidden variable is a Markov chain. HMM have been extended to Coupled HMM, where the hidden variables are multidimensional and whose dependencies can be represented as in a DBN.

The Coupled HMM example used in the toolbox model the dynamics of a pest that can spread on a landscape composed of N crop fields organized in a regular grid. The neighborhood of field i, denoted  $N_i$ , is the set of the 4 closest fields (or 3 or 2, on the borders and corners of the grid).  $H_t^i \in \{0, 1\}$   $(1 \le i \le N, 0 \le t \le T)$  is the state of crop field i at time t. State 0 (resp. 1) represents the absence (resp. presence) of the pest in the field. Variable  $H_t^i$  depends on  $H_{t-1}^i$  and of the  $H_{t-1}^j$  such that j is in  $N_i$ . The conditional probabilities of survival and apparition of the pest in field i are parameterized by 3 parameters:

- $\epsilon$ , the probability of contamination from outside the landscape (long-distance dispersal).
- $\rho$ , the probability that the pest spreads from an infected field  $j \in N_i$   $(H_t^j = 1)$  to field i between time t and t + 1.
- $\nu$ , the probability that an infected field at time t remains infected at t + 1

We assume that contamination events from every neighbor fields are independent. Then, if  $I_t^i$  is the number of infected neighbors of field *i* at time t  $(I_t^i = \sum_{j \in N_i} H_t^j)$ , we have

$$P(X_{t+1}^i = 1 \mid X_t^i = 0, X_t^j, j \in N_i) = \epsilon + (1 - \epsilon)(1 - (1 - \rho)^{I_t^i})$$

and

$$P(X_{t+1}^i = 1 \mid X_t^i = 1, X_t^j, j \in N_i) = \nu + (1 - \nu) \left(\epsilon + (1 - \epsilon)(1 - (1 - \rho)^{I_t^i})\right).$$

The  $H_t^i$  are hidden variables. During monitoring, a binary variable  $O_t^n$  is observed: it takes value 1 if the pest has been observed and 0 otherwise. But error of detection is possible: we can have false negative observations (the pest is there but difficult to see so it was missed) or false positive observations (the pest was mixed up with another one). We define  $P(O_t^i = 1 \mid X_t^i = 0) = f_p$  and  $P(O_t^i = 0 \mid X_t^i = 1) = f_n$ .

"Expert" values for the dynamics parameters in the case of a weed species can be  $\epsilon = 0.15$ ,  $\rho = 0.2$ ,  $\nu = 0.5$ . For the observations distributions, we take  $f_p = 0.05$  and  $f_n = 0.1$ .

#### Syntax

```
fm = gmdp_example_CHMM()
fm = gmdp_example_CHMM(N)
fm = gmdp_example_CHMM(N, T)
```

#### Arguments

- N : number of fields in the square grid (default value: 9)
- **T** : number of time periods (default value: 3)

#### Evaluation

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining the factor graph

#### Example

## 3.4 gm\_example\_DBN

### Description

A Dynamic Bayesian Network (DBN) is a particular Bayesian network where the same set of variables evolve through (discrete) time and the state of a variable in a given time period depends only on variables in the previous time period and the current one.

The function allows to create a simple Dynamic Bayesian Network with the following transition structure:

- no relation between variables into a time period,
- a variable  $V_i^{t+1}$  has two parents:  $V_i^t$  and  $V_{i-1}^t$ , expected  $V_1^{t+1}$  which as a unique parent  $V_1^t$ .

The following graph shows the relations between the variables of two consecutive time steps.



### Syntax

```
global NS; fg = gm_example_DBN(nv, t)
```

### Arguments

- $\bullet~\mathbf{NS}$  : global variable, cardinality of each variable
- $\bullet~\mathbf{nv}$  : number of variables in a time period
- $\bullet~{\bf t}$  : number of time steps

#### Evaluation

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining the factor graph

### Example

```
>> global NS; NS=2; fg = gm_example_DBN(3,2)
fg =
  struct with fields:
    Card: [2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2]
     sfg: {[1×1 struct]}
>> sfg = fg.sfg{1}
sfg =
  struct with fields:
    Vcode: [1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9]
        F: \{1 \times 9 \text{ cell}\}
        BG: [9 \times 9 \text{ logical}]
>> sfg.F{1}
ans =
  struct with fields:
    V: [1 2 4]
    T: [2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ double}]
```

Plot of the unique sub factor graph corresponding to the DBN, giving names to variables.

```
>> fg.Name = {'V1<sup>1</sup>', 'V2<sup>1</sup>', 'V3<sup>1</sup>', 'V1<sup>2</sup>', 'V2<sup>2</sup>', 'V3<sup>2</sup>', 'V1<sup>3</sup>', 'V2<sup>3</sup>', 'V3<sup>3</sup>'};
>> gm_plot_fg(fg)
```



### $3.5 \text{ gm}_example_CHMM$

#### Description

A Hidden Markov Model (HMM) is composed of two variables: a sequence of hidden variables, which are never observed, and a sequence of observed variables (one per hidden variable) which state are known. The sequence of hidden variable is a Markov chain. HMM have been extended to Coupled HMM, where the hidden variables are multidimensional and whose dependencies can be represented as in a DBN.

The Coupled HMM example used in the toolbox model the dynamics of a pest that can spread on a landscape composed of N crop fields organized in a regular grid. The neighborhood of field i, denoted  $N_i$ , is the set of the 4 closest fields (or 3 or 2, on the borders and corners of the grid).  $H_t^i \in \{0, 1\}$   $(1 \le i \le N, 0 \le t \le T)$  is the state of crop field i at time t. State 0 (resp. 1) represents the absence (resp. presence) of the pest in the field. Variable  $H_t^i$  depends on  $H_{t-1}^i$  and of the  $H_{t-1}^j$  such that j is in  $N_i$ . The conditional probabilities of survival and apparition of the pest in field i are parameterized by 3 parameters:

- $\epsilon$ , the probability of contamination from outside the landscape (long-distance dispersal).
- $\rho$ , the probability that the pest spreads from an infected field  $j \in N_i$   $(H_t^j = 1)$  to field i between time t and t + 1.
- $\nu$ , the probability that an infected field at time t remains infected at t + 1

We assume that contamination events from every neighbor fields are independent. Then, if  $I_t^i$  is the number of infected neighbors of field *i* at time t  $(I_t^i = \sum_{j \in N_i} H_t^j)$ , we have

$$P(X_{t+1}^i = 1 \mid X_t^i = 0, X_t^j, j \in N_i) = \epsilon + (1 - \epsilon)(1 - (1 - \rho)^{I_t^i})$$

and

$$P(X_{t+1}^i = 1 \mid X_t^i = 1, X_t^j, j \in N_i) = \nu + (1-\nu) \left(\epsilon + (1-\epsilon)(1-(1-\rho)^{I_t^i})\right).$$

The  $H_t^i$  are hidden variables. During monitoring, a binary variable  $O_t^n$  is observed: it takes value 1 if the pest has been observed and 0 otherwise. But error of detection is possible: we can have false negative observations (the pest is there but difficult to see so it was missed) or false positive observations (the pest was mixed up with another one). We define  $P(O_t^i = 1 \mid X_t^i = 0) = f_p$  and  $P(O_t^i = 0 \mid X_t^i = 1) = f_n$ .

"Expert" values for the dynamics parameters in the case of a weed species can be  $\epsilon = 0.15$ ,  $\rho = 0.2$ ,  $\nu = 0.5$ . For the observations distributions, we take  $f_p = 0.05$  and  $f_n = 0.1$ .

#### Syntax

```
fm = gmdp_example_CHMM()
fm = gmdp_example_CHMM(N)
fm = gmdp_example_CHMM(N, T)
```

### Arguments

- N : number of fields in the square grid (default value: 9)
- **T** : number of time periods (default value: 3)

#### Evaluation

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining the factor graph

#### Example

We consider a square grid of N=4 fields evolving during T=2 periods. Here is the set of fields and the possible propagation of pest between them.



Let us now represent state and observation variables dependencies between 2 time steps.



Here is the corresponding factor graph including the factors on the initial time step (f1 to f4) and two transitions.



```
>> fg = gm_example_CHMM(4,2)
fg =
 struct with fields:
   sfg: {[1×1 struct]}
>> sfg = fg.sfg{1}
sfg =
 struct with fields:
      F: {1×20 cell}
   Vcode: [1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20]
      BG: [20 \times 20 \text{ logical}]
>> sfg.F{1}
ans =
 struct with fields:
   V: 1
   T: [2 \times 1 double]
```

Plot of the unique sub factor graph, giving names to variables.



# $3.6 gm\_create\_fg$

### Description

Create a factor graph structure, giving cardinalities of variables and a set of factors. A factor graph is first created with 1 sub factor graph containing all factors. If this factor graph is not valid, an error is returned. If it is valid, and disconnected, the sub factor graph is separated. So this function may return a factor graph with several sub factor graphs.

### Syntax

fg = gm\_create\_fg( Card, F, Name)

### Arguments

- Card : cardinality of variables, vector (  $1 \ge nv$ ) of integer higher than 1
- $\bullet~{\bf F}$  : set of factors, cell array of factor structure
- Name : set of variable names, cell array of char array (optional)

### Evaluation

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining the factor graph

### Example

```
\% Creation of a factor graph with a single sub factor graph,
\% which is composed of 2 connex components
>> Card = [2 2 2 2]; % 4 variables
>> F1.V = [1 2];
>> F1.T = 0.5*ones(2);
>> F2.V = [3 4];
>> F2.T = 0.5*ones(2);
>> F = {F1, F2};
>> fg = gm_create_fg( Card, F, {'A', 'B', 'C', 'D'})
fg =
  struct with fields:
    Card: [2 2 2 2]
    Name: {'A' 'B' 'C' 'D'}
     sfg: {[1 \times 1 \text{ struct}] [1 \times 1 \text{ struct}]}
>> fg.sfg{1}
ans =
  struct with fields:
    Vcode: [1 2]
       F: {[1×1 struct]}
       BG: [1 1]
```





Here is an example of not coherent arguments.

```
>> fg = gm_example_Sprinkler();
>> fg_pb = gm_create_fg([2 2], fg.sfg{1}.F, '')
ERROR: F is not valid
ERROR: sfg{1}.BG not correct
fg_pb =
[]
```

# $3.7 gm\_separate\_fg$

### Description

Separate a factor graph in disconnected sub factor graphs, with each sub factor graph having a single connex component.

### Syntax

fg = gm\_separate\_fg( fg )

### Argument

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining a factor graph

#### Evaluation

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining a factor graph

### Example

```
% Create by hand a simple disconnected factor graph
>> fg.Card = [2 2 2 2];
>> F1.V = [1 2];  % factor 1 on variable 1 and 2
>> F1.T = [ 0.5 0.5; 0.5 0.5];
>> F2.V = [3 4];  % factor 2 on variable 3 and 4
>> F2.T = [ 0.5 0.5; 0.5 0.5];
>> sfg.Vcode = [1 2 3 4];
>> sfg.F = {F1, F2};
>> sfg.BG = setBG( length(sfg.Vcode), sfg.F);
>> fg.sfg{1} = sfg;
>> [is_OK, is_disconnected, msg] = gm_check_fg( fg )
WARNING: sfg{1} is disconnected
is_OK =
  logical
   1
is_disconnected =
  logical
   1
msg =
  0\!\times\!0 empty char array
>> gm_plot_fg(fg)
```



```
>> fg = gm_separate_fg( fg )
fg =
  struct with fields:
Card: [2 2 2 2]
sfg: {[1×1 struct] [1×1 struct]}
>> gm_plot_fg(fg)
```

```
Sub factor graph 1
          A
         Ŋ
```

●B



С

Ŋ

٥D

# 4 Generalized Belief Propagation

The toolbox implements a Generalized Belief Propagation (GBP) algorithm. There is a variety of algorithms, the Parent-to-Child algorithm is chosen. A main advantage of it is that the message-passing rules make no reference to region counting numbers, just as the standard Belief Propagation (BP) algorithm.

The GBP algorithm takes a factor graph and a region graph as inputs. Using the different functions we provide in the toolbox to compute a region graph for a given factor graph, one can either perform exact or approximate inference. If a *Junction Tree Region Graph* is computed, the GBP algorithm performs exact inference.

If, instead, a *Bethe Region Graph* is computed, the GBP algorithm becomes a standard *Belief Propa*gation algorithm, which computes a Bethe approximation of the marginals on pairs of variables and on singletons.

Finally, if the *Cluster Variation Method Algorithm* is applied, flexibility is offered to the user, who can choose the 'large regions' of the region graph (those without parents), each choice leading to a different trade-off between accuracy and complexity.

These three region graph computation functions provide a gradient of approximation quality inversely proportional to their time/space complexity. Note that other Region Graph construction algorithms could be implemented in the toolbox, offering the possibility to develop and test new approximation approaches within the GBP algorithm.

Note also that the toolbox could be enriched by the addition of the *Child-to-Parent* and *Two-ways* algorithms described in [3].

## $4.1 \text{ gm}_{infer}_{GBP}$

#### Description

The parent-to-child algorithm generalizes the principles at the basis of the standard (exact) message passing algorithm on a tree structured factor graph. Messages are spread through regions instead of through factor nodes and variable nodes, and at convergence, beliefs on region are obtained as products of messages. More precisely the belief at any region R is the product of all the local factors in that region, multiplied by all the messages coming into region R from outside regions. There is one complication, however: to ensure that the algorithm is equivalent to minimizing the region graph free energy, we need to include additional messages into regions which are descendants of R from other parent regions that are not themselves descendants of region R.

There is just one kind of message,  $m_{P\to R}(x_R)$ , from a parent region P to a child region R. Each region R has a belief  $b_R(x_R)$  given by:

$$b_R(x_R) = \prod_{a \in F_R} f_a(x_a).(\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}a(R)} m_{P \to R}(x_R)).(\prod_{D \in \mathcal{D}e(R)} \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}a(D) \setminus \mathcal{E}(R)} m_{P' \to D}(x_D))$$

where:

- $\mathcal{P}a(R)$  is the set of regions that are parents to region R,
- $\mathcal{D}e(R)$  is the set of all regions that are descendants of region R,

- $\mathcal{E}(R) = \{R\} \cup \mathcal{D}e(R)$  is the set of all regions that are descendants of R and also region R itself,
- $\mathcal{P}a(D)\setminus\mathcal{E}(R)$  is the set of all regions that are parents of region D except for region R itself or those those regions that are also descendants of region R.

The message-update rule is:

$$m_{P \to R} = \frac{\sum_{x_{P \setminus R}} (\prod_{a \in F_{P \setminus R}} f_a(x_a)) \cdot \prod_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{N}(P,R)} m_{I \to J}(x_J)}{\prod_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{D}(P,R)} m_{I \to J}(x_J)}$$

where:

- $\mathcal{N}(P,R)$  is the set of all connected pairs of regions (I,J) such that  $I \notin \mathcal{E}(P), J \in \mathcal{E}(P) \setminus \mathcal{E}(R)$ .
- $\mathcal{D}(P, R)$  is the set of all connected pairs of regions (I, J) such that  $I \in \mathcal{D}e(P) \setminus \mathcal{E}(R), J \in \mathcal{E}(R)$ .

Nota : The definition of  $\mathcal{D}(P, R)$ , in [3], is wrong. Replace definition of I by:  $I \in \mathcal{E}(P)$ .

Note that in the original article, updated messages are used as soon as they are computed, in the message update rule. Indeed, our coding implements:

$$m_{P \to R}^{updated} = \frac{\sum_{x_{P \setminus R}} (\prod_{a \in F_{P \setminus R}} f_a(x_a)) . \prod_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{N}(P,R)} m_{I \to J}^{old}(x_J)}{\prod_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{D}(P,R)} m_{I \to J}^{updated}(x_J)}$$

where  $m_{P \to R}^{updated}$  is the updated version of the message, while  $m_{P \to R}^{old}$  is the old version. But, for this update rule to be operational, whenever we want to update a message  $m_{P \to R}^{updated}$ , every messages  $m_{I \to J}^{updated}$  with  $(I, J) \in \mathcal{D}(P, R)$  must have already been computed. This constrains the order of messages computation: we start by updating messages to the leaves of the region graph and continu by going up until the root. Several orders satisfy this rule, the same order is set and used all along the algorithm.

### Syntax

```
[b, stop_by, br] = gm_infer_GBP ( fg , rg )
[b, stop_by, br] = gm_infer_GBP ( fg , rg, Nmax )
[b, stop_by, br] = gm_infer_GBP ( fg , rg, Nmax, epsilon )
[b, stop_by, br] = gm_infer_GBP ( fg , rg, Nmax, epsilon, damp )
[b, stop_by, br] = gm_infer_GBP ( fg , rg, Nmax, epsilon, damp, is_verbose )
```

#### Arguments

- fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining the factor graph
- rg : structure (see paragraph 2.3) defining the region graph
- Nmax : maximum number of iterations, integer in [1 1000] (optional, default value: 100)
- **epsilon** : stop iteration when the maximal difference between messages between 2 iterations is less than epsilon, positive double, (optional, default 0.0001)
- damp : damp factor to update messages, damp = 0 means 'take new message, damp = 1 would mean 'take message from previous iteration', double in [0 1] (optional, default 0)
- is\_verbose : if true, display additional information when running (optional, default false)

#### Evaluation

- **b** : cell array  $(1 \ge nv)$ , beliefs of variables
- **stop\_by** : string, stop criterion in {"Nmax", "epsilon"} (empty when fg.sfg if empty)
- **br** : cell array  $(1 \ge nr)$ , beliefs of regions

#### Example

```
>> fg = gm_example_Sprinkler();
>> rg = gm_rg_CVM(fg);
>> [b, stop_by, br] = gm_infer_GBP ( fg , rg )
b =
  1 \times 4 cell array
                        \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
                                           \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
                                                               \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
    \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
stop_by =
  1 \times 1 cell array
    {["epsilon"]}
br =
  1 \times 1 cell array
    \{1 \times 6 \text{ cell}\}
>> b{4}(1) % probability of grass (variable 'Wet' coded 4) to be wet (coded 1)
ans =
    0.8015
>> br{1}{3}(1,1,1) % 3 variables in region 3: 'Sprinkler', 'Rain', 'Wet'
% probability of sprinkler to be on, rain to be yes and grass to be wet
ans =
    0.3500
\% In verbose mode, number of iteration are given
>> [b, stop_by] = gm_infer_GBP ( fg, rg, 10, 0.0001, 0, true );
n=1
       delta=0.57143
n=2
        delta=0
```

### Coding

The GBP algorithm can be expressed using two functions :

• Prod : computes the product of the tables of the functions  $F_i$ , taking into account the variables  $V_i$  of each function  $F_i$ .

$$[h,v] = Prod(H,V)$$

with  $H = \{F_i\}$ , list of function  $F_i$ 's tables,  $W = \{V_i\}$ , list of indices of the variables of functions  $F_i$ .  $h = \prod_i F_i$ , the product of functions  $F_i$ ,  $V = \bigcup_i V_i$ , the indices of the variables of h.

• Marg : computes the marginals of a function f defined on the set  $v_f$  of variables, by eliminating the set of variables  $v_e$ .

$$[h, v] = Marg(f, v_f, v_e)$$

with  $v = v_f \setminus v_e$ .

An other function, extend\_message, is used in the gm\_infer\_GBP function. The function, if necessary, extends the result h of Prod function such that the scope of h is equal to the set of variables of region receiving the message computed using h.

Algorithm 1: Generalized Belief Propagation (GBP) algorithm **Input:** fg : a factor graph rg : a region graph Nmax : maximum number of iterations (optional, default 100)  $\epsilon$ : stop iteration when all the differences between messages of two successive iterations are less than  $\epsilon$  (optional, default 0.0001) damp : damping factor  $\in [0, 1]$  (optional, default 0) **Output:** b : beliefs on variables (marginals) stop\_by : criterium that stopped message iteration br : beliefs on regions begin  $\delta \leftarrow +\infty, n \leftarrow 0$ Init  $\mathcal{P}a, \mathcal{D}e, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{D}$  for all regions Init message\_order considering regions from leaves to root Init messages  $m^{updated}$  /\* with uniform distribution \*/ oscillation  $\leftarrow 0$ : while  $\delta > \epsilon$  and n < Nmax do  $\delta \leftarrow 0, \, n \leftarrow n+1, \, m^{old} = m^{updated}$ /\* Compute messages \*/ foreach (P, R) of message\_order do /\* update messages \*/ for each  $f_a \in F_{P \setminus R}$  do  $\[ H \leftarrow H \cup \{f_a\}, W \leftarrow W \cup \{V_a\}\]$ foreach  $(I, J) \in \mathcal{N}(P, R)$  do [h, V] = Prod(H, W) $[h, V] = Marg(h, V, V \setminus V_R)$  $H \leftarrow h, W \leftarrow V$ foreach  $(I, J) \in \mathcal{D}(P, R)$  do  $\overset{-updated}{m_{P \rightarrow R}} \leftarrow extend\_message(Prod(H,W))$ /\* Normalize messages with max and damping \*/ foreach (P, R) of message\_order do  $\begin{array}{l} m_{P \to R}^{updated} \leftarrow normalize(m_{P \to R}^{updated}) \\ m_{P \to R}^{updated} = (1 - damp).m_{P \to R}^{updated} + damp.m_{P \to R}^{old} \end{array}$ /\* Compute stop condition \*/ / Compute stop condition \*/  $\delta' \leftarrow \max_{(P,R) \in message\_order} \max_{x \in x_R} \mid m_{P \to R}^{old}(x) - m_{P \to R}^{updated}(x) \mid$ /\* Compute marginals \*/ for each variable  $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$  do  $R \leftarrow$  a region with variable  $x_i$  and as few variables as possible  $b(x_i) = Marg(br(R), R, R \setminus \{i\})$ 

#### Normalization with maximum

A message can be seen as a table. We normalize each message by dividing by the maximum of the message's table. Then the maximum value is 1 in this table.

When iterating, dividing by a very small value may give NaN. To avoid this, values less than 0.0001, are set to 0.0001. This is not a problem with a normalization by maximum but it would be a problem with a normalization by the sum.

We evaluated that execution time are near for normalization by maximization and by sum (time for sum is just a little lower). Considering the estimation of belief in examples run for comparison with libDAI (see 6.1), with sum the difference is about  $10^{-15}$  for sum instead of 0.0017 when maximization.

#### **Detection of oscillation**

We try to automatically detect oscillations with a compromize in costs CPU time and memory. Neither criterion was satisfying, so we do not anymore try to detect oscillations but provide a function to visualize belief evolution all along iterations (function gm\_plot\_belief\_evolution).

We have 2 examples of oscillation: (i) CHMM generated with  $gm_example_CHMM(4,2)$  with observations at time step 2 (variables 13, 14, 15, 16). It is to note that oscillations are different if observed states are 1 or 2; (ii) problem called Figure 13 in TEST directory.

#### Order of messages

We first consider the leaf regions in the region graph.

Then we iteratively consider parents regions. Be careful that only parent regions that have all children yet considered are inserted in the order list. In other words, the insertion in the list order of those regions has to be delayed until all successors are in the list order.

Then messages  $m_{P\to R}$  are updated starting from messages arriving to leaves of the region graph and then going backward until the root. This ensure that when updating  $m_{P\to R}$  all messages  $m_{I\to J}$  such that  $(I, J) \in \mathcal{D}(P, R)$  have already been updated.

#### Improve convergence

In [3], under equation (153), there is the following sentence: "In practice, it often helps convergence to only step the messages part-way to their newly computed values. This simple heuristic can eliminate 'over-shooting' problems..." That is  $m^{update}$  is replaced by  $m^{update} \leftarrow damp.m^{old} + (1-damp).m^{update}$ .

# $4.2 \text{ gm}_{T}$

#### Description

If gm\_infer\_GBP is applied with the region graph computed by the gm\_rgJT function, then the inference algorithm is equivalent to the Junction Tree (JT) algorithm.

The region graph construction for the JT method is based on the classical variable elimination algorithm for graphical models. The resulting region graph is a bipartite graph containing large regions and small regions. The large regions are constructed using variable elimination with respect to an elimination ordering of variables, o, either given by the user or using the default one (variable 1, then 2, ... until n are eliminated): Whenever a variable o(i) is eliminated, a new large region is created, containing variable o(i), as well as every factor containing variable o(i) and the variables of this factor and no variables already eliminated (so doing, each factor is included in exactly one region). Once done, we eliminate all regions which variables are all included in another region (and add the factors of the eliminated region to the one which is kept).

Then, in the JT region graph construction, the small regions are obtained by computing intersections between large regions and keeping only those that do not correspond to an already created region. However, in order to perform an exact inference, the region graph should be a tree. Therefore, a *Maximum Spanning Tree* (MST) is computed, for the graph which vertices are the large regions and weighted edges are added between every pairs of large regions sharing at least one variable. The weight of an edge is precisely the number of variables shared by the two regions. Once the MST has been computed, the bipartite region graph is obtained by replacing each edge  $R_i - R_j$  of the MST by two oriented edges  $R_i \to S_{ij}$  and  $R_j \to S_{ij}$  with  $S_{ij}$  the intersection of  $R_i$  and  $R_j$ .

#### Syntax

 $rg = gm_rg_JT(fg)$ 

```
rg = gm_rg_JT( fg, var_order )
```

### Arguments

- fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining the model
- **var\_order** : a vector  $(1 \ge n)$ , which is a reodering of the variables

### Evaluation

• rg : structure (see paragraph 2.3 for a description of the region graph)

### Example

```
>> rg = gm_rg_JT( gm_example_Sprinkler() )
rg =
  1 \times 1 cell array
    \{1 \times 1 \text{ struct}\}
>> rg{1}
ans =
  struct with fields:
    Vr: [3×4 logical]
    Fr: [3 \times 4 \text{ logical}]
    Gr: [3 \times 3 logical]
    cr: [1 1 -1]
>> rg{1}.Vr
ans =
  3{\times}4 logical array
  1 1 1 0
   0 1 1
              1
   0 1 1
               0
>> rg{1}.Fr
ans =
 3 \times 4 logical array
  1 1 1 0
              1
   0 0 0
   0 0 0
               0
>> gm_plot_rg( rg)
```



```
>> rg = gm_rg_JT( gm_example_Sprinkler(), [4 3 2 1] )
rg =
  1 \times 1 cell array
    \{1 \times 1 \text{ struct}\}
>> rg{1}
ans =
  struct with fields:
    Vr: [3×4 logical]
    Fr: [3 \times 4 \text{ logical}]
    Gr: [3×3 logical]
    cr: [1 1 -1]
>> rg{1}.Vr
ans =
  3 \times 4 logical array
   0 1 1 1
   1 1 1
              0
   0 1 1
               0
>> rg{1}.Fr
ans =
  3×4 logical array
   0 0 0 1
              0
   1 1 1
   0 0 0
              0
>> gm_plot_rg( rg)
```



with this simple example, the region graph has also 3 regions with the same connections but regions are not similar: regions 1 and 2 exchanged.

### Coding

**Algorithm 2:** GM\_RG\_JT Compute a region graph corresponding to a junction tree for a given variable ordering.

**Input:** fg : a factor graph *o* : an ordering of the variables (optional)

**Output:** rg : a region graph with has the structure of a junction tree

#### begin

Algorithm 3: COMPUTE\_LARGE\_REGIONS, Compute large regions (variables, factors) for JT, for a given elimination order (illustrated on a factor graph with only one sub factor graph)

Input: fg: a factor graph

o: an ordering of the variables

**Output:** LR: a set of regions, a region is a couple (S, F) with S (resp. (F) a set of variables (resp. factors)

#### begin

for  $k = 1 \dots n$  do /\*  $F_k$  is the set of factors which scope contains  $x_{o(k)}$  and no variable already eliminated  $F_k \leftarrow \{f \in \text{fg.sgf1.F}, x_{o(k)} \in Scope(f)\};$  $F_k \leftarrow F_k \cap \overline{(\cup_{j < k} F_j)}$ ; /\*  $S_k$  contains the variables not yet eliminated and contained together with  $x_k$  in the scope of a factor already encountered \*/  $S_k \leftarrow \left\{ j \in 1, \dots, n, \exists f \in F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_k, (x_{o(k)}, x_j) \subseteq Scope(f) \right\};$  $S_k \leftarrow S_k \cap \overline{(o(1), \ldots, o(k-1))};$ /\* The large regions are built from the maximal elements of  $\{S_k\}_k$ \*/ for  $k = 1 \dots n$  do if  $\exists S_j \ s.t. \ S_k \subseteq S_j$  then  $\begin{array}{c} F_j \leftarrow F_j \cup F_k ;\\ S_k \leftarrow \emptyset, \ F_k \leftarrow \emptyset ; \end{array}$  $LR \leftarrow \{(S_k, F_k), (S_k, F_k) \neq (\emptyset, \emptyset)\}$ 

Algorithm 4: COMPUTE\_SMALL\_REGIONS: Compute small regions from large regions for JT and build the JT region graph (illustrated on a factor graph with only one sub factor graph)

**Input:** LR: a set of regions, a region is a couple (S, F) with S (resp. (F) a set of variables (resp. factors)

Output: rg: a region graph

/\* First step: building of a weighted clique over the regions in LR \*/  $V = \{1, \ldots, K\} ;$ /\* |LR| = K \*/ /\* The weights of the edges are the cardinal of the regions intersections \*/  $w(v_k, v_j) = |LR(j) \cap LR(k)|, \forall 1 \le j, k \le K;$ /\* Second step: compute a maximum spanning tree of (V, w)\*/  $\mathcal{T} \leftarrow \text{MAXIMUM}_\text{SPANNING}_\text{TREE}(V, w)$ ; /\* Uses classical Kruskall's algorithm \*/ /\* Third step: a small region of the JT region graph is created for each edge of maximum spanning tree of (V, w)\*/ for  $e = (j, k) \in \mathcal{T}$  do |  $SR(e) \leftarrow LR(j) \cap LR(k)$ Regions =  $LR \cup SR$ ;  $\operatorname{rg.srg}\{1\}$ .Vr = logical array,  $\operatorname{cell}(i, j)$  true if variable j is in region i; rg.srg{1}.F = logical array, cell(i, j) true if factor j is in region i;  $rg.sfg{1}.cr = count numbers associate to regions;$ 

# 4.3 gm\_rg\_BETHE

#### Description

The region graph corresponding to the Bethe approximation is a simple graph with two levels of regions, respectively *large regions* and *small regions*:

- There is one large region  $r_f$  per factor node f of the factor graph.  $r_f$  contains factor f as well as every variables i which are in the scope of f.
- There is one small region  $r_i$  per variable i of the factor graph.

Then, we build one directed edge from every large region nodes to the small region corresponding to the variables of the unique factor contained in the large region.

#### Syntax

rg = gm\_rg\_BETHE( fg )

#### Arguments

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining the model

#### Evaluation

• **rg** : structure (see paragraph 2.3 for a description of the region graph)

#### Example

```
>> rg = gm_rg_BETHE( gm_example_Sprinkler() )
rg =
 1 \times 1 cell array
   \{1 \times 1 \text{ struct}\}
>> rg{1}
ans =
  struct with fields:
   Vr: [8×4 logical]
   Fr: [8×4 logical]
   Gr: [8×8 logical]
   cr: [1 1 1 1 -2 -1 -1 0]
>> rg{1}.Vr
ans =
  8×4 logical array
  1 0 0 0
  1 1 0 0
  1 0 1 0
  0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1
  1 0 0 0
  0 1
         0 0
  0
      0 1
              0
  0
     0 0
              1
```

```
>> rg{1}.Fr
ans =
  8 \times 4 logical array
  1
     0 0
             0
  0
          0
              0
      1
  0
      0
          1
              0
  0
      0
          0
              1
  0
      0
          0
              0
             0
  0
      0
          0
  0
         0
             0
      0
  0
      0
         0
             0
>> gm_plot_rg( rg )
```



## Coding

**Algorithm 5:** GM\_RG\_BETHE: Compute the BETHE region graph (illustration for a factor graph with a single sub factor graph)

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \textbf{Input: } fg: a factor graph \\ \textbf{Output: } rg: the region graph corresponding to the Bethe method \\ \textbf{begin} \\ \\ \hline & /* Each large region corresponds to a factor and its variables \\ & LR \leftarrow \cup_{f \in fg.sgf\{1\}} \{f, Scope(f)\}; \\ & /* Each small region corresponds to a single variable \\ & SR \leftarrow \cup_{f \in fg} Scope(f); \\ & rg.regions \leftarrow LR \cup SR; \\ & /* Now, compute the graph edges linking large to small regions \\ & \texttt{for } f \in LR \ \textbf{do} \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ &$ 

# $4.4 \ gm_rg_CVM$

#### Description

The function builds a region graph with the Cluster Variation Method (CVM). It begins with a set of large regions  $\mathcal{R}_0$  such that:

- every factor node f and every variable node i of the considered factor graph is included in at least one region  $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$ ,
- no region  $R \in \mathcal{R}_0$  is a subregion of any other region in  $\mathcal{R}_0$ .

Then the set of regions  $\mathcal{R}_1$  is constructed by forming all possible intersections between regions in  $\mathcal{R}_0$ , but discarding from  $\mathcal{R}_1$  any intersection regions that are sub-regions of other intersection regions in  $\mathcal{R}_1$ .

If possible, the set of regions  $\mathcal{R}_2$  is constructed the same way from the intersection between regions in  $\mathcal{R}_0 \cup \mathcal{R}_1$ , but discarding any sub-regions that already appeared in  $\mathcal{R}_1$  or that are sub-regions of other intersection regions in  $\mathcal{R}_2$ .

The procedure continues as long as there are new intersection regions. Finally the CVM set of regions is  $\mathcal{R}_0 \cup \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{R}_K$ .

To form a region graph, connections between regions are constructed in the following way. For regions in  $\mathcal{R}_1$ , connect them to regions of  $\mathcal{R}_0$  that are super regions. For a region R in  $\mathcal{R}_2$ , connect it to all regions in  $\mathcal{R}_0$  and  $\mathcal{R}_1$  that are super-regions of R, except for those regions in  $\mathcal{R}_0$  that do not need a direct connection, because they are super-regions of regions in  $\mathcal{R}_1$  that are also super-regions of R. Similar rules are followed for regions in  $\mathcal{R}_3$  and so on.

#### Syntax

```
[rg, kR] = gm_rg_CVM( fg )
[rg, kR] = gm_rg_CVM( fg, regions)
```

#### Arguments

- fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining the model
- regions : a matrix  $(nr \ge (nv + nf))$ , specifying regions for fg (optional)

#### Evaluation

- **rg** : structure (see paragraph 2.3 for a description of the region graph)
- $\mathbf{kr}$ : vector  $(1 \ge nr)$ , a level for each region

#### Example

```
>> fg = gm_example_Sprinkler();
>> rg = gm_rg_CVM( fg )
rg =
    1×1 cell array
    {1×1 struct}
>> rg{1}
ans =
    struct with fields:
    Vr: [6×4 logical]
    Fr: [6×4 logical]
    Gr: [6×6 logical]
    cr: [1 1 1 -1 -1 -1]
```

```
>> rg{1}.Vr
ans =
 6{\times}4 logical array
  1 1 0 0
       1
     0
            0
  1
  0
     1
        1
           1
  1
     0
        0
           0
           0
  0
     1
        0
  0 0 1
            0
>> rg{1}.Fr
ans =
 6 \times 4 logical array
  1 1 0 0
  1 0 1
           0
  0
    0 0 1
  1
     0 0 0
  0 0 0 0
  0 0 0 0
>> gm_plot_rg( rg )
```



To illustrate, specifying second argument regions, we require just 1 region with all variables and factors.

```
>> regions{1} = [1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1];
>> rg = gm_rg_CVM( fg , regions )
rg =
  1×1 cell array
    {1×1 struct}
>> rg{1}
ans =
    struct with fields:
    Vr: [1 1 1 1]
    Fr: [1 1 1 1]
    Gr: 0
    cr: 1
```

# Coding

Algorithm 6: GM_RG_CVM Compute a region graph with Cluster Variation Method	
Input: fg : a factor graph	
regions : initial set of regions (level $k=0$ ) (optional)	
Output: rg : a region graph	
rk : ranks of regions in the region graph	
bogin	
/* Check arguments validity and define an initial set of regions */	
if regions provided then	
else	
$\mathcal{R}_0 \leftarrow \text{extract regions from fg}$	
(i) one region $r_f$ per factor $f$	
(ii) remove regions $r_{f'}$ whose variables are included in another region $r_f$ and add factor $f'$ of the definition of the definition of the region $r_f$ and $r_$	leted
region to regions $r_f$	
/* Remove regions of $\mathcal{R}_0$ which are strict subregions of another region	*/
$\mathcal{K}_0 \leftarrow Purge\_subregions(\mathcal{K}_0);$ (V, E)	-tr. /
$A^*$ initialize Region graph $G = (V, E)$ $C \leftarrow (V - \mathcal{R}_0, E - \emptyset)$	*/
/* Compute intersection regions	*/
$\mathcal{R}' \leftarrow Intersections(\mathcal{R}_0)$ :	
$\mathcal{R}' \leftarrow Purge\_subregions(\mathcal{R}');$	
/* Remove every regions $R\in \mathcal{R}'$ which belong to $\mathcal{R}_0$	*/
$\mathcal{R}' \leftarrow Purge\_equal(\mathcal{R}', \mathcal{R}_0);$	
$k \leftarrow 0$ ;	
/* Main loop	*/
while $\mathcal{R}' \neq \emptyset$ do	
$k \leftarrow k + 1;$	-tr. /
$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{P}} \leftarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{P}} \leftarrow \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{P}}$	*/
/* Undate graph	*/
$E \leftarrow E \cup \{(R, R') \in V \times \mathcal{R}', R' \subseteq R\}:$	
$V \leftarrow V \cup \mathcal{R}';$	
/* Update rk	*/
$rk \leftarrow [kr, k, \dots, k];$	
$ \mathcal{R}' $	
/* Next step: Compute intersection regions	*/
$\mathcal{R}' \leftarrow Intersections(\mathcal{R}_k);$	
$\mathcal{R}' \leftarrow Purge\_subregions(\mathcal{R}');$	
$\operatorname{rg.Vr} \leftarrow \mathcal{R}_k \cap variables ;$	
rg.Fr $\leftarrow \mathcal{R}_k \cap factors;$	
$\lfloor \operatorname{rg.Gr} \leftarrow (V, E);$	

# $4.5 \ gm_include_evidence$

# Description

The function allows to take into account the known states (observations) of some variables. Th ouput factor graph corresponds to the joint distribution of the variables conditionally to the evidence.

#### Syntax

```
fg = gm_include_evidence( fg, evidence)
```

#### Argument

- fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining a factor graph
- evidence : observations, vector  $(1 \ge nv)$  of integer (see paragraph 2.6), 0 for unknown state

#### Evaluation

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining a factor graph

#### Example

```
>> evidence = [1 0 0 0]; % Weather is cloudy
>> fg = gm_include_evidence( gm_example_Sprinkler(), evidence)
fg =
  struct with fields:
          Card: [2 2 2 2]
Name: {'Cloudy' 'Sprinkler' 'Rain' 'Wet'}
sfg: {[1×1 struct]}
     evidence: [1 0 0 0]
>> rg = gm_rg_JT(fg)
rg =
  1 \times 1 cell array
     \{1 \times 1 \text{ struct}\}
>> [b, stop_by] = gm_infer_GBP ( fg , rg )
b
  1 \times 4 cell array
                           \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\} \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\} \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
    \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
stop_by =
  1×1 cell array
  {["epsilon"]}
>> b{4}(1) \% Probability that grass is wet if weather is cloudy
ans =
    0.8510
```

Note that evidence can disconnect a sub factor graph in several sub factor graphs.

```
>> evidence = [ 0 1 1 0]; % Rain and Sprinkler !
>> fg = gm_include_evidence( gm_example_Sprinkler(), evidence)
fg =
  struct with fields:
           Card: [2 2 2 2]
     Name: {'Cloudy' 'Sprinkler' 'Rain' 'Wet'}
sfg: {[1×1 struct] [1×1 struct]}
evidence: [0 1 1 0]
>> rg = gm_rg_JT(fg)
rg =
 1 \times 2 cell array
     \{1 \times 1 \text{ struct}\}
                            \{1 \times 1 \text{ struct}\}
>> [b, stop_by] = gm_infer_GBP ( fg , rg )
b =
  1 \times 4 cell array
     \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
                             \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
                                                   \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\} \{2 \times 1 \text{ double}\}
stop_by =
1×2 cell array
     {["epsilon"]}
                              {["epsilon"]}
>> b{4}(1) % Probability that grass is wet if rain and sprinkler
ans =
      1
```

### Coding

Algorithm 7: GM\_INCMUDE\_EVIDENCE: Include evidence in a factor graph

```
Input: fg : a factor graph
evidence : evidences, cell array 1xnv
```

**Output:** fg : a factor graph

#### begin

```
Vobs \leftarrowset of variables with evidence

Reduce factors

foreach factor a \in F do

if Va \setminus Vobs not empty then

Va \leftarrow Va \setminus Vobs

Ta \leftarrow reduction of Ta

else

L a \leftarrow empty

Remove empty factors

Add observed factors

foreach variable v \in Vobs do

L add new factor with V=v, T=0, except T(v)=evidence{v}
```

# $4.6 \ gm_plot_belief_evolution$

### Description

Plot the evolution of belief for a set of pair (variable, state) during a range of maximum number of iteration (argument Nmax of gm\_infer\_GBP) of GBP.

#### Syntax

bt = gm\_plot\_belief\_evolution ( V, S, i\_start, i\_end, fg, rg, epsilon, damp )

#### Argument

- V : vector of variable
- S : vector of state
- i\_start : first Nmax considered
- i\_end : last Nmax considered
- fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining a factor graph
- rg : structure (see paragraph 2.3) defining a region graph
- epsilon : see epsilon argument of gm\_infer\_GBP
- **damp** : see damp argument of gm\_infer\_GBP

#### Evaluation

• **bt** : bt is a matrix such that bt(i, j) is p ( V(j)=S(i) ) at iteration  $i_start-1+j$ 

# Example

```
>> fg = gm_example_CHMM(4,2);
% observation at time step 2
>> evidence=zeros(1, length(fg.Card)); evidence(13:16)=2;
>> fge = gm_include_evidence( fg, evidence);
>> rge = gm_rg_CVM( fge);
>> bt = gm_plot_belief_evolution ( 1, 1, 1, 100, fge, rge, 0.0001, 0.0 );
```



# 5 Utilities

# $5.1 gm_check_fg$

### Description

Check that:

- variables appear in sub factor graphs
- sub factor graphs are disconnected
- each sub factor graph is valid

#### Syntax

[is\_OK, msg] = gm\_check\_fg( fg )

#### Argument

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining a factor graph

#### Evaluation

- is\_OK : true if fg is valid, false otherwise
- msg : cell vector of error message(s)

#### Example

```
>> fg=gm_example_Sprinkler();
>> [is_OK, msg] = gm_check_fg( fg )
is_OK =
    logical
    1
msg =
    []
```

# $5.2 \text{ gm}_check_rg$

### Description

Check that:

- each sub factor graph has an associated sub region graph,
- each sub region graph is valid (types of fields, each variable in a region, each factor in a region, sum of counting numbers equals to 1).

#### Syntax

```
[is_OK, msg] = gm_check_rg( rg, fg )
```

### Argument

- fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining a factor graph
- rg : structure (see paragraph 2.3) defining a region graph

### Evaluation

- is\_OK : true if rg is valid, false otherwise
- msg : cell vector of error message(s)

#### Example

```
>> rg = gm_rg_CVM( gm_example_Sprinkler() );
>> [is_0K, msg] = gm_check_rg( rg, fg )
is_0K =
    logical
    1
msg =
    []
```

# $5.3 gm_check_rg_JT$

### Description

Check if:

- rg is a junction graph (GBP acts as Loopy Belief Propagation algorithm),
- rg is a junction tree (GBP acts as Junction Tree algorithm).

For validity of rg, call gm\_check\_rg function.

#### Syntax

[is\_JT, is\_JG, msg] = gm\_check\_rg\_JT( rg )

#### Argument

• rg : structure (see paragraph 2.3) defining a region graph

### Evaluation

- $\bullet~is\_JT$  : true if all sub region graphs are junction trees, false otherwise
- is\_JG : true if all sub region graphs are junction graphs, false otherwise
- msg : cell vector of error message(s)

### Example

```
>> fg = gm_example_Sprinkler();
>> rg = gm_rg_BETHE( fg)
rg =
  1 \times 1 cell array
    \{1 \times 1 \text{ struct}\}
>> [is_JT, is_JG, msg] = gm_check_rg_JT( rg )
is_JT =
  logical
  0
is_JG =
  logical
  1
msg =
  0×0 empty char array>> [is_OK, msg] = gm_check_rg( rg, fg )
is_OK =
  logical
   1
msg =
      []
>> fge=gm_include_evidence(fg,[0 1 1 0]);
>> rge = gm_rg_BETHE( fge )
rge =
  1 \times 2 cell array
    \{1 \times 1 \text{ struct}\}
                     \{1 \times 1 \text{ struct}\}
>> [is_JT, is_JG, msg] = gm_check_rg_JT( rge )
is_JT =
  logical
   1
is_JG =
  logical
   1
msg =
  0 \times 0 empty char array
```

# $5.4 \ gm_plot_fg$

### Description

Plot sub factor graph(s). Variables are represented by large blue circles. Factors are represented by small red squares.

If variables have no Names they are called '1', '2' ... Factors of a sub factor graph are called 'f1', 'f2' ...

### Syntax

```
gm_plot_fg( fg )
gm_plot_fg( fg , vsfg )
gm_plot_fg( fg , vsfg, layout )
```

#### Argument

- fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining a factor graph
- vsfg : set of sub factor graph, a vector of integer

• layout : a layout [default 'auto'], possible value: 'auto', 'circle', 'force', 'layered', 'subspace', 'force3', 'subspace3'

#### Example

#### Sub factor graph 1







# $5.5 \ gm_plot_rg$

# Description

Plot sub region graph(s). Regions are represented by large black squares. Regions of a sub factor graph are called '1', '2' ...

### Syntax

```
gm_plot_rg( fg )
gm_plot_rg( rg , vsfg )
gm_plot_rg( rg , vsfg, layout )
```

### Argument

- **rg** : structure (see paragraph 2.3) defining a region graph
- $\bullet~vsfg$  : set of sub region graph, a vector of integer
- layout : a layout [default 'auto'], possible value: 'auto', 'circle', 'force', 'layered', 'subspace', 'force3', 'subspace3'

### Example





Sub region graph 2

# $5.6 gm_read_fg$

### Description

Read an UAI file containing a factor graph. For a complete UAI format description, see: http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/project/PASCAL/fileFormat.php#model.

### Syntax

fg = gm\_read\_rg( file\_name )

### Argument

• **file\_name** : file name to read.

### Evaluation

• fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining a factor graph.

### Example

```
>> gm_write_fg( gm_example_Sprinkler(), 'Sprinkler.uai')
>> fg = gm_read_fg( 'Sprinkler.uai' )
fg =
   struct with fields:
      Card: [2 2 2 2]
      sfg: {[1×1 struct]}
```

Here is the file 'Sprinkler.uai'.

MARKOV 4 2 2 2 2 2 4 1 0 2 0 1 2 0 2 3 1 2 3 2 0.5000 0.5000 4 0.5000 0.5000 0.9000 0.1000 4 0.8000 0.2000 0.2000 0.8000 8 1.0000 0.0000 0.9000 0.1000 0.9000 0.1000 0.9900

# 5.7 gm\_read\_evidence

### Description

Read an UAI file containing evidences. For a complete UAI format description, see: http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/project/PASCAL/fileFormat.php#evidence .

### Syntax

evidence = gm\_read\_evidence( file\_name, nb\_vars )

#### Argument

- file\_name : file name to read.
- **nb\_vars** : number of variables (just to check validity).

### Evaluation

• evidence : observation of the state of some variables (see paragraph 2.6).

### Example

Here is the file 'Sprinkler.uai.evid'.

1 2 1 1.0000 3 1.0000

# $5.8 \text{ gm}_{read}_{MAR}$

### Description

Read an UAI file containing marginals. For a complete UAI format description, see: http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/project/PASCAL/fileFormat.php#res .

### Syntax

```
b = gm_read_MAR( file_name )
```

### Argument

• file\_name : file name to read.

### Evaluation

• **b** : marginals (belief of variables) (see paragraph 2.4).

### Example

```
>> for v=1:4; MAR{v}=[1; 0]; end
>> gm_write_MAR( MAR, 'Sprinkler.uai.MAR')
>> b = gm_read_MAR( 'Sprinkler.uai.MAR' )
b =
 1×4 cell array
 {2×1 double} {2×1 double} {2×1 double}
}
```

Here is the file 'Sprinkler.uai.MAR'.

```
MAR
1
4 2 1.0000 0.0000 2 1.0000 0.0000 2 1.0000 0.0000 2 1.0000 0.0000
```

# 5.9 gm\_read\_BEL

### Description

Read an UAI file containing beliefs of regions. For a complete UAI format description, see: http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/project/PASCAL/fileFormat.php#res .

### Syntax

br = gm\_read\_BEL( file\_name, fg, rg)

### Argument

- **file\_name** : file name to read.
- fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining a factor graph.
- **rg** : structure (see paragraph 2.3) defining a region graph.

### Evaluation

• **br** : beliefs of regions (see paragraph 2.5).

### Example

```
>> fg = gm_example_Sprinkler();
>> rg = gm_rg_CVM(fg);
>> [~, ~, BEL] = gm_infer_GBP( fg, rg);
>> gm_write_BEL( BEL, 'Sprinkler.uai.BEL')
>> br = gm_read_BEL( 'Sprinkler.uai.BEL', fg, rg )
br =
1×1 cell array
{1×6 cell}
```

Here is the file 'Sprinkler.uai.BEL'.

```
BEL

1

6 4 0.2500 0.2500 0.4500 0.0500 4 0.4000 0.1000 0.1000 0.4000 8 0.3500 0.0000 0.3150 ...

... 0.0350 0.1350 0.0150 0.0015 0.1485 2 0.5000 0.5000 2 0.7000 0.3000 2 0.5000 0.5000
```

# $5.10 \text{ gm}_{-}$ write\_fg

#### Description

Write an UAI file containing a factor graph. For a complete UAI format description, see: http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/project/PASCAL/fileFormat.php#model.

#### Syntax

gm\_write\_rg( fg, file\_name )

#### Argument

- fg : structure (see paragraph 2.2) defining a factor graph.
- file\_name : file name to write.

### Example

```
>> gm_write_fg( gm_example_Sprinkler(), 'Sprinkler.uai')
```

Here is the file 'Sprinkler.uai'.

```
MARKOV

4

2 2 2 2 2

4

1 0

2 0 1

2 0 2

3 1 2 3

2 0.5000 0.5000

4 0.5000 0.5000 0.1000

4 0.8000 0.2000 0.2000 0.1000

8 1.0000 0.0000 0.9000 0.1000 0.9000 0.1000 0.9900
```

# $5.11 \quad \text{gm}_{-}$ write\_evidence

### Description

Write an UAI file containing evidences. For a complete UAI format description, see: http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/project/PASCAL/fileFormat.php#evidence .

#### Syntax

gm\_read\_evidence( evidence, file\_name )

#### Argument

- evidence : observation of the state of some variables (see paragraph 2.6).
- file\_name : file name to write.

#### Example

>> gm\_write\_evidence( [0 1 0 1], 'Sprinkler.uai.evid')

Here is the file 'Sprinkler.uai.evid'.

1 2 1 1.0000 3 1.0000

# $5.12 \quad gm_write_MAR$

### Description

Write an UAI file containing marginals. For a complete UAI format description, see: http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/project/PASCAL/fileFormat.php#res .

### Syntax

gm\_write\_MAR( b, file\_name )

#### Argument

- **b** : marginals (belief of variables) (see paragraph 2.4).
- file\_name : file name to write.

#### Example

```
>> for v=1:4; MAR{v}=[1; 0]; end
>> gm_write_MAR( MAR, 'Sprinkler.uai.MAR')
```

Here is the file 'Sprinkler.uai.MAR'.

MAR 1 4 2 1.0000 0.0000 2 1.0000 0.0000 2 1.0000 0.0000 2 1.0000 0.0000

# 5.13 gm\_write\_BEL

### Description

Write an UAI file containing beliefs of regions. For a complete UAI format description, see: http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/project/PASCAL/fileFormat.php#res .

### Syntax

```
gm_write_BEL( br, file_name)
```

### Argument

- **br** : beliefs of regions (see paragraph 2.5).
- file\_name : file name to write.

#### Example

```
>> fg = gm_example_Sprinkler();
>> rg = gm_rg_CVM(fg);
>> [~, ~, BEL] = gm_infer_GBP( fg, rg);
>> gm_write_BEL( BEL, 'Sprinkler.uai.BEL')
>> br = gm_read_BEL( 'Sprinkler.uai.BEL', fg, rg )
br =
1×1 cell array
{1×6 cell}
```

Here is the file 'Sprinkler.uai.BEL'.

#### BEL 1

```
6 4 0.2500 0.2500 0.4500 0.0500 4 0.4000 0.1000 0.1000 0.4000 8 0.3500 0.0000 0.3150 ...
... 0.0350 0.1350 0.0150 0.0015 0.1485 2 0.5000 0.5000 2 0.7000 0.3000 2 0.5000 0.5000
```

# 6 Development

# 6.1 Tests

In gmtoolbox/TEST directory, Matlab functions allows to test the toolbox functions. They are non regression tests, exploring limit cases, example problems of the toolbox, and some interesting for development (see [3]).

A script TEST.m launches all tests.

A script Test\_< function >.m allows to test functions related to inference. Some local functions generate specific problems for test.

Factor graph	Tiny	Trivial	Lattice	Fig11	Fig4	Fig13	CHMM	DBN	Sprinkler
<b>Test_rg_CVM.m</b> gm_rg_CVM (regions)	x	x	x x x		x			x	
<b>Test_rg_BETHE.m</b> gm_rg_BETHE	x	x	x		x			x	
Test_rg_JT.m gm_rg_JT	x	x	x		x			x	
Test_include_evidence.m gm_include_evidence	x	x	x						
Test_infer_GBP.m gm_infer_GBP	CVM	CVM+ rg	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm CVM} \\ {\rm CVM+(x2)} \\ {\rm BETHE} \\ {\rm JT} \\ {\rm rg(x2)} \end{array}$	CVM JT BETHE rg	CVM JT	CVM BETHE	CVM JT BETHE	CVM JT BETHE	CVM CVM+ rg

The following table summarizes defined tests.

CVM+ stands for CVM with regions given in argument.

rg stands for regions graph given directly (not the output of a toolbox function).

Note that the script Test\_infer\_GBP\_MAP.m checks the same tests as Test\_infer\_GBP.m but uses infer\_GBP.m (other coding of GBP) instead of gm\_infer\_GBP.m.

# 6.2 Comparison with libDAI

### 6.2.1 Problems to test

We chose to use a function of the toolbox to generate a problem: gm\_example\_DBN. This function allows to create Dynamic Bayesian Networks, fixing the following parameters:

• v : number of variables in one time period,

- s : number of states of each variable,
- h : number of time period.

We call problems DBN- $\langle v \rangle - \langle s \rangle - \langle h \rangle$ .

#### 6.2.2 Parametrization of libDAI

libDAI is launched with the command line.

We used the pre-defined parametrization, written in the file libDAI-0.3.2/tests/aliases.conf

```
GBP_MIN: HAK[doubleloop=0,clusters=MIN,init=UNIFORM,tol=1e-9,maxiter=10000]
GBP_BETHE: HAK[doubleloop=0,clusters=BETHE,init=UNIFORM,tol=1e-9,maxiter=10000]
GBP_LODP3: HAK[doubleloop=0,clusters=DELTA,init=UNIFORM,loopdepth=3,tol=1e-9,maxiter=10000]
GBP_LOOP4: HAK[doubleloop=0,clusters=LOOP,init=UNIFORM,loopdepth=4,tol=1e-9,maxiter=10000]
GBP_LOOP5: HAK[doubleloop=0,clusters=LOOP,init=UNIFORM,loopdepth=5,tol=1e-9,maxiter=10000]
GBP_LOOP6: HAK[doubleloop=0,clusters=LOOP,init=UNIFORM,loopdepth=6,tol=1e-9,maxiter=10000]
GBP_LOOP7: HAK[doubleloop=0,clusters=LOOP,init=UNIFORM,loopdepth=7,tol=1e-9,maxiter=10000]
GBP_LOOP8: HAK[doubleloop=0,clusters=LOOP,init=UNIFORM,loopdepth=7,tol=1e-9,maxiter=10000]
```

Documentation was find in:

- libDAI-0.3.2/doc/html/structdai\_1\_1HAK\_1\_1Properties.html
- source code libDAI-0.3.2/src/Hak.cpp et regiongraph.cpp (for constructCVM)

booldai :: HAK :: Properties :: doubleloop Use single-loop (GBP) or double-loop (HAK) The following cluster choices are defined:

- MIN minimal clusters, i.e., one outer region for each maximal factor
- DELTA one outer region for each variable and its Markov blanket
- LOOP one cluster for each loop of length at most Properties::loopdepth, and in addition one cluster for each maximal factor
- BETHE Bethe approximation (one outer region for each maximal factor, inner regions are single variables)

With BETHE, constructCVM is not called but is called with others.  $dai :: HAK :: Properties :: DAI_ENUM$  (InitType, UNIFORM, RANDOM)

 $size_t dai :: HAK :: Properties :: loopdepth$ 

Depth of loops (only relevant for clusters == ClustersType::LOOP) Realdai :: HAK :: Properties :: tol

Tolerance for convergence test.  $size_t dai :: HAK :: Properties :: maxiter$ 

Maximum number of iterations.

#### 6.2.3 Compare execution time and beliefs

The execution time was measured on perdu PC.

In the following table, the time used to build region graph with gm\_rg\_CVM is not taken into account. To have an idea, it requires 0.3s for DBN-10-10-10 and 6s for DBN-10-10-40.

Execution time can vary. For example, when the program is launched consecutively, or if the C library version is changed (with an update of Ubuntu and a recompilation of libDAI). Several measures allow to check that the following times were representatives.

We used the script  $\mathsf{TEST}/\mathsf{Test}_\mathsf{DBN}_\mathsf{lib}\mathsf{DAI.m}$  which itself launches sh  $\mathsf{TEST}/\mathsf{Test}_\mathsf{DBN}_\mathsf{lib}\mathsf{DAI.sh}$ .

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Problem} \\ \text{DBN} < v > \_ < s > \_ < h > \end{array}$	Execution time in s of GBP_MIN libDAI	Execution time in s of GBP Matlab (version May 17, 2018)	max(abs(difference) on beliefs
DBN-10-10-10	0.14	0.39	0.0016
DBN-20-10-10	0.30	0.76	0.0029
DBN-10-10-40	0.57	1.67	0.0018
DBN-10-10-60	0.79	2.80	0.0018
DBN-140-10-8	1.78	7.18	0.0019
DBN-144-10-10	2.06	10.31	0.0019

# Bibliography

- [1] D. Koller, N. Friedman, *Probabilistic Graphical Models: Principles and Techniques*. MIT Press, 2006.
- [2] J. M. Mooij, libDAI: A free & open source C++ library for Discrete Approximate Inference in graphical models Journal of Machine Learning Research, 11(Aug):2169-2173, 2010.
- [3] J. S. Yedidia, W. T. Freeman, Y. Weiss, Constructing Free Energy Approximations and Generalized Belief Propagation Algorithms. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 51, pp. 2282-2313, 2005.
- [4] Eds. T. Morita, M. Suzuki, K. Wada, M. Kaburagi, Foundations and applications of cluster variation method ans path probability method. In Prog. Ther. Phys. Supplement, vol 115, 1994.

# **GMtoolbox** functions

		<mark>г</mark> сл
GM generation		U
$gm_example_Sprinkler$	Generate a Bayesian Network toy example	
$gm_example_Ecology$	Generate a toy example related to ecology	
$gm_example_CHMM$	Generate a Dynamic Bayesian Network	
$gm_example_DBN$	Generate a Coupled Hidden Markov Model	
gm_create_fg	Generate a factor graph	
gm_separate_fg	Separate connected sub factor graphs	
	۲.	$\widehat{\mathbb{O}}$
GMDP inference		9
gm_infer_GBP	Generalized Belief Propagation (parent-to-child)	
$gm_rg_CVM$	Generate a region graph with Cluster Variation Method (CVM)	
gm_rg_BETHE	Generate a region graph with BETHE approximation	
$gm_rg_JT$	Generate a region graph such that GBP corresponds to Junction Tree (	JT)
$gm\_include\_evidence$	Take into account observations	
$gm_plot_belief_evolution$	Plot the evolution of belief for a range of GBP iteration	
TItilition		
		ý.
gm_check_fg	Check the validity of a factor graph	
gm_check_rg	Check the validity of a region graph	
$gm_check_rg_JT$	Check if a region graph is of the type given by $\rm gm\_rg\_JT$ function	
gm_plot_fg	Plot a factor graph	
$gm_plot_rg$	Plot a region graph	
gm_read_fg	Read a factor graph in UAI format	
gm_read_evidence	Read evidences in UAI format	
gm_read_MAR	Read marginals in UAI format	
gm_read_BEL	Read beliefs of regions in UAI format	
gm_write_fg	Write a factor graph in UAI format	
gm_write_evidence	Write evidences in UAI format	
$gm_write_MAR$	Write marginals in UAI format	
:/	Write beliefs of regions in UAI format	